


# Effect of carp species combination on production and economics of stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* based polyculture in homestead ponds under drought prone area of Bangladesh

S. M. Nurun Nabi • Md. Akhtar Hossain • M. Manjurul Alam • Md. Harun-Ur-Rashid • Md. Anwar Hossain

Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh

## Correspondence

S. M. Nurun Nabi; Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh

 nurunnabi1@yahoo.com

## Manuscript history

Received 3 October 2020 | Revised 15 December 2020 | Accepted 16 December 2020 | Published online 22 December 2020

## Citation

Nabi SMN, Hossain MA, Alam MM, Harun-Ur-Rashid M, Hossain MA (2020) Effect of carp species combination on production and economics of stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* based polyculture in homestead ponds under drought prone area of Bangladesh. Journal of Fisheries 8(3): 920–927.

## Abstract

Aquaculture of homestead ponds under drought prone areas is climatically challenged by increased temperature and decreased water level. These ponds are potentials for farming of a short cycle aquaculture species like *Heteropneustes fossilis* with carps but lack of appropriate species combination is the major constraint for promotion of this technique. To address this problem, an experiment was carried out during July to December, 2019 to evaluate the production and economics of *H. fossilis* based polyculture under different carp species combinations in homestead ponds in Tanore of Rajshahi district, Bangladesh. Three different combination of carps were tested under three treatments as T<sub>1</sub>: *Labeo rohita* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 50%, *Gibelion catla* 25% and *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* 25%); T<sub>2</sub>: *G. catla* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *G. catla* 50% and *H. molitrix* 25%); and T<sub>3</sub>: *H. molitrix* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *G. catla* 25% and *H. molitrix* 50%), each with three replications. Stocking densities were 123500 ha<sup>-1</sup> for of *H. fossilis* was and 988 ha<sup>-1</sup> for carps. Water quality was monitored monthly and found within acceptable range. T<sub>3</sub> was found significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) better than other groups in terms of yield and cost benefit ratio.

**Keywords:** Growth; *Heteropneustes fossilis*; polyculture; species combination; yield

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

The major problems for aquaculture promotion in drought prone area of Bangladesh are increased temperature, decreased water level and reduced culture period of the ponds (Hossain *et al.* 2009; Talukder *et al.* 2017). Prolonged drought is one of the major threat to the pond fish culture of Bangladesh (Halim *et al.* 2017) which have the potential to directly affect the physiology, behaviour and growth of fish (Abbink *et al.* 2012). Pond aquaculture is

differentiated between two main forms of 'homestead pond culture' and 'entrepreneurial pond culture' because the two have considerably different profiles with respect to their origins, species produced, size of operations, intensity of production, amount of capital investment, the socio-economic characteristics of producers, and the level of both primary on-farm employment and secondary employment in associated value chains (Belton *et al.* 2011). The homestead ponds are typically smaller in size ranges

from 0.04 ha to 0.1 ha (Jahan *et al.* 2010). The farmers having homestead ponds are often disappointed during harvesting when they find poor growth of native carps cultured with exotic fish species. They are also experienced with the lower production and economic return from current polyculture system. Characteristic soil-water quality with lower fish production is well reported in red soil ponds of drought prone area (e.g. Hossain 2011). This indicates the necessity of developing suitable techniques for homestead ponds under drought prone area in terms of introducing short cycle and high valued aquaculture species with its suitable co-species (e.g. carps), species combination, stocking size and density and feed. Moreover, existing carp polyculture technique is also not suitable for all types of ponds. Importance of stocking overwintered and larger size carps in polyculture ponds under drought prone area is well documented by Hossain *et al.* (2020). Farming of short cycle high valued species with suitable over wintered carp is thus important for effective utilisation of homestead ponds.

The stinging catfish *Heteropneustes fossilis*, locally known as shing, is a potential aquaculture species which has delicious taste, high market value and good acceptance for nutritional and medicinal properties (Chakraborty and Nur 2012). It is considered to be well preferred because of its less bone, less fat and high digestibility in many parts of Indian subcontinent (Khan *et al.* 2003). Earlier it was abundant in closed and open water ecosystems in Bangladesh (e.g. Galib *et al.* 2009; Imteazzaman and Galib 2013; Joadder *et al.* 2015), but due to various natural and anthropological causes, abundance of the fishes in natural waters has been declined (Chaki *et al.* 2014). With the increasing aquaculture development in our country, the seed of this fish currently widely available all over the country especially in Bogura, Mymensingh, Jashore and other districts. The production of *H. fossilis* and walking catfish (*Clarias batrachus*) is reported as 27331 metric tons that contributed 1.44% of the total production of pond aquaculture in Bangladesh (DoF 2018). Culture of *H. fossilis* in monoculture system requires higher investment particularly for expensive artificial feeds which is not affordable to the smallholder farmers however, the use of high-levels of feed inputs has led to deterioration of pond water quality and natural foods in the pond are not utilised properly (Wahab *et al.* 2014). The problems of intensive *H. fossilis* farming are encountered as higher investment, lower survival rates, smaller harvesting sizes and higher feed conversion ratio (FCR) with the increase of stocking densities, deterioration of water quality with higher level of unionised ammonia ( $0.17 - 0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) (Rahman *et al.* 2014) due to release of fish faeces and nutrient into water resulting planktonic bloom and natural food organisms that produced in the pond remain unused (Samad *et al.* 2017). High value fish species particularly *H. fossilis* based carp polyculture in homestead

ponds can provide higher production and economic benefit to the smallholder farmers compared to the only carp polyculture system. The feeding niches of some carp species in polyculture are reasonably well known, but predicting synergism or competition between *H. fossilis* and carp species remains unknown. A better understanding of intra- and inter-species effects on natural food availability, its exploitation, selection of species combination of carp species, stocking densities of *H. fossilis* and feeding strategies is needed for promotion of this technique. Very little attention has been given on increasing fish production and economic return from stinging catfish based carp polyculture while stocking over wintered carps in homestead pond. Therefore, the present study primarily aimed at evaluating the production and economics of *H. fossilis* based carp polyculture under different species combinations in homestead ponds of drought prone area. The specific objectives of this study were to monitor the water quality and fish growth; to evaluate the production and economics; and finally, to recommend suitable species combination of carps as co-species for *H. fossilis* based polyculture in homestead ponds under drought prone area.

## 2 | METHODOLOGY

### 2.1. Location and duration of the study

The experiment was conducted for a period of six months from July to December 2019 in farmer managed homestead ponds (mean area of 0.05 ha and depth of  $1.34 \pm 0.02 \text{ m}$ ) located at Jogisho village of Tanore Upazila (sub district) in Rajshahi district, Bangladesh (Figure 1). The ponds were rain fed and exposed to sunlight for most of time of the day.

### 2.2 Experimental design

A total of three species of carps (*Gibelion catla*, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* and *Labeo rohita*) was used as co-species of *H. fossilis*. Randomized completely block design (RCBD) was followed for the present experiment and three different combinations of carps were tested under three treatments as T<sub>1</sub>: *L. rohita* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 50%, *G. catla* 25% and *H. molitrix* 25%); T<sub>2</sub>: *G. catla* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *G. catla* 50% and *H. molitrix* 25%); and T<sub>3</sub>: *H. molitrix* dominant carps (*L. rohita* 25%, *G. catla* 25% and *H. molitrix* 50%), each with three replications. Treatments were replicated thrice and varied with no significant difference for mean area and depth of the ponds (Tables 1 and 2). Total stocking density of *H. fossilis* ( $123500 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) and carps ( $988 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) was same for all the treatments.

The ranges of pond area was 0.049 – 0.052 ha (mean 0.05 ha), 0.047 – 0.054 ha (mean 0.05 ha) and 0.046 – 0.054 ha (mean 0.05 ha) for T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> respectively while the water depth was 1.3 – 1.36 m, 1.33 – 1.36 m and 1.32 –

1.36 m respectively. However, no significant difference was found in pond water area and water depth among treatments (Table 1).

### 2.3 Pond management

Aquatic weeds were removed from all the experimental ponds manually. Unwanted fishes and other predatory species were removed from the ponds through repeated netting. Liming was done at the rate of 500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fortnight<sup>-1</sup> as periodic dose. Lime treatment in ponds under drought prone area was done after Hossain (2011). No organic manure was used except limited use of inorganic fertilizers (urea: 1.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>; and TSP (triple super phosphate): 1.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) to enhance the natural feed. Basal fertilisation was done after three days of liming. TSP was wetted overnight and

spread over the ponds at following day whereas instant application was done for urea. Both wild (*L. rohita* and *G. catla*) and hatchery (*H. fossilis* and *H. molitrix*) seeds were used for stocking into the ponds under different treatments. Carp seeds were used following overwintering process whereas seeds of *H. fossilis* were shifted from nursery rearing to farmer managed grow out ponds for stocking. Floating pelleted feed (35% protein content) was given to *H. fossilis* at the rate of 7% of the body weight (20% at first fortnight, 12% at second and third fortnights, 7% at fourth and fifth fortnights, 5% at sixth to eighth fortnight, 4% at ninth fortnight, 3% at tenth fortnight and 2% at rest of the fortnights). Twice daily feeding (morning and evening) was followed for all the treatments. Feed ration was adjusted through fortnightly sampling.



**FIGURE 1** Location of the study area indicated with dot circle at Tanore Upazila (sub district) of Rajshahi district, Bangladesh.

**TABLE 1** Mean water area and depth of the experimental ponds ( $n = 3$ ).

Pond profile	Treatments			F value	p value
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>		
Area (ha)	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.01	0.890
Depth (m)	1.33±0.02	1.33±0.01	1.34±0.01	0.19	0.830

### 2.4 Monitoring of water quality parameters

Water quality parameters of the experimental ponds were monitored between 0900 and 1000 am in each month. Water temperature (°C) was recorded with the help of a Celsius thermometer at 20 – 30 cm below the water surface. Water transparency (cm) was measured by a Secchi disk. Alkalinity (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and ammonia-nitrogen (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) were determined by the help of a HACH kit (model: FF2, USA). Dissolved oxygen (mg L<sup>-1</sup>), pH, and total dissolved solids (TDS, mg L<sup>-1</sup>) were determined by a

Multimeter (model: HQ 40D, HACH, USA). Nine small samples of water (20 litre for each sample) were collected from different areas and depths of the each of the experimental ponds and passed through a plankton net (mesh size 55 micron) to count plankton population. Then the collected plankton samples were preserved in 5 % formalin in small plastic bottles to take the sample in the laboratory for further study. Plankton was identified using the key after Ward and Whipple (1959), Prescott (1962) and Bellinger (1992) and their concentration in water (number L<sup>-1</sup>) was determined by the help of a microscope after Stirling (1985) in laboratory of the Department of Fisheries, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

### 2.5 Monitoring of fish growth and yield

Fortnightly sampling was done to monitor growth performance of fishes and to adjust the feeding ration. In each sampling date, 10% of the stocked fishes of each

species were caught from each pond with the help of a cast net for the study of growth performances of fishes. The examined fishes were then immediately released into the ponds without any harm. Growth, survival and production performances of fishes were analyzed under all the treatments after Brett and Groves (1979) as follows:

*Initial weight (g) = Weight of fish at stock*

*Final weight (g) = weight of fish at harvest*

*Weight gain = Mean final weight – Mean initial weight*

$SGR (\%, bwd^{-1}) = \frac{L_n \text{ final weight} - L_n \text{ initial weight}}{\text{Culture period}} \times 100$

$\text{Survival rate } (\%) = \frac{\text{No. of fish harvested}}{\text{No. of fish stocked}} \times 100$

$\text{Yield } (kg \text{ ha}^{-1}) = \text{Fish biomass at harvest} - \text{Fish biomass at stocking}$

## 2.6 Economic analysis

Simple cost-benefit analysis was done to explore the economics. At the end of the study, all the fishes were sold in a local market. The prices of inputs and fish corresponded to the market prices in Rajshahi, Bangladesh in 2020 and were expressed in Bangladesh currency (Taka) as BDT (1 US\$ = 83.95 BDT as of January 2020). Data on both fixed and variable costs were recorded to determine the total cost (BDT ha<sup>-1</sup>). Total return was determined from the market price of fish and was expressed as BDT ha<sup>-1</sup>. Net benefit (BDT ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by deducting the total return from total cost. Cost benefit ratio (CBR = Net benefit / total cost) was also determined, after Shang (1990).

## 2.7 Data analysis

Data on water quality parameters, fish growth and yield, and economics were subjected to one way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) using computer software SPSS (Statistical

Package for Social Science, version 20). The mean values were also compared by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) after Gomez and Gomez (1984) at 5% level of significance. All data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error (S.E.).

## 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 Water quality parameters

No significant difference (all  $p > 0.05$ ) was found among the treatments for the mean values of water temperature, transparency, pH, alkalinity, ammonia-nitrogen and total dissolved solids (TDS). Treatments varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the mean values of DO, CO<sub>2</sub> and plankton concentration (Table 2). The lowest concentration of plankton was recorded with T<sub>3</sub> by the presence of the highest number of *H. molitrix*. The highest DO and lowest values of CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia-nitrogen were recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>. However, water quality parameters under the treatments were found more or less within suitable range for fish culture.

### 3.2 Fish growth and yield

No significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) in weight gain and SGR of carps (*H. molitrix*, *G. catla* and *L. rohita*) was found among the treatments whereas treatment T<sub>3</sub> varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) than others for mean growth (final weight, weight gain and SGR) and yield of *H. fossilis* (Table 3 and Table 4). The highest yield of all species was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (8791.82  $\pm$  420.07 (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (7033.72  $\pm$  292.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (6713.40  $\pm$  369.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). Comparatively lower growth (in terms of weight gain) of the target species (*H. fossilis*) was recorded with winter period.

**TABLE 2** Mean water quality under different treatments during the study.

Water quality	Treatments			F value	p-value
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>		
Temperature (°C)	29.87 $\pm$ 0.10	29.99 $\pm$ 0.22	29.83 $\pm$ 0.04	0.29	0.750
Transparency (cm)	25.05 $\pm$ 0.11	25.22 $\pm$ 0.81	25.33 $\pm$ 0.16	0.09	0.920
pH	6.93 $\pm$ 0.04	7.01 $\pm$ 0.08	7.11 $\pm$ 0.16	0.74	0.520
DO (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.33 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>b</sup>	5.62 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	5.84 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	6.34	<b>0.030</b>
Alkalinity (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	86.39 $\pm$ 2.07	86.88 $\pm$ 1.88	87.17 $\pm$ 0.88	0.05	0.950
CO <sub>2</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	1.76 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.78 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.53 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	4.53	<b>0.040</b>
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.02 $\pm$ 0.00	1.32	0.330
TDS (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	280.02 $\pm$ 4.53	268.44 $\pm$ 4.19	273.94 $\pm$ 4.67	1.75	0.250
Phytoplankton (cell L <sup>-1</sup> )	122111 $\pm$ 3207.40 <sup>a</sup>	108461 $\pm$ 438.99 <sup>b</sup>	97778 $\pm$ 1621.83 <sup>c</sup>	34.04	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Zooplankton (cell L <sup>-1</sup> )	88916.7 $\pm$ 476.38 <sup>a</sup>	86050 $\pm$ 1190.50 <sup>ab</sup>	83405.6 $\pm$ 1256.8 <sup>b</sup>	7.07	<b>0.030</b>
Total plankton (cell L <sup>-1</sup> )	211027.78 $\pm$ 3590 <sup>a</sup>	194511.1 $\pm$ 1166.8 <sup>b</sup>	181183.3 $\pm$ 2233.5 <sup>c</sup>	34.85	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

Figures bearing different letters in a row as superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )

### 3.3 Economics

Treatments varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the mean values of total cost, net return, net benefit and CBR (Table

5). The highest values of total cost, net return, net benefit and CBR were recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub>.

**TABLE 3** Fish growth under different treatments during study from July to December 2019.

Species	Treatment	Initial weight (g)	Final weight (g)	Weight gain (g 6months <sup>-1</sup> )	SGR (% bwd <sup>-1</sup> )	Survival rate (%)
<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	T <sub>1</sub>	1.03 ± 0.01	72.52 ± 2.40 <sup>b</sup>	71.49 ± 2.41 <sup>b</sup>	2.36 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	71.07 ± 2.49
	T <sub>2</sub>	1.04 ± 0.01	74.11 ± 2.51 <sup>b</sup>	73.08 ± 2.52 <sup>b</sup>	2.37 ± 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	73.00 ± 2.42
	T <sub>3</sub>	1.03 ± 0.00	87.20 ± 2.41 <sup>a</sup>	86.17 ± 2.40 <sup>a</sup>	2.39 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	77.78 ± 1.84
	<i>F value</i>	0.11	10.87	10.84	7.84	2.30
	<i>p value</i>	0.890	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.020</b>	0.180
<i>Gibelion catla</i>	T <sub>1</sub>	150.84 ± 1.32	861.16 ± 14.07	710.60 ± 14.40	0.97 ± 0.01	71.12 ± 5.09
	T <sub>2</sub>	150.95 ± 1.86	845.74 ± 9.70	694.89 ± 9.92	0.96 ± 0.01	70.24 ± 2.76
	T <sub>3</sub>	150.78 ± 1.20	878.60 ± 13.30	727.65 ± 13.29	0.99 ± 0.01	73.41 ± 4.32
	<i>F value</i>	0.02	1.71	1.57	0.88	0.15
	<i>p value</i>	0.980	0.260	0.280	0.460	0.860
<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>	T <sub>1</sub>	212.15 ± 1.05	1201.38 ± 3.69	989.22 ± 2.77	0.96 ± 0.01	73.41 ± 4.26
	T <sub>2</sub>	212.67 ± 1.45	1188.82 ± 13.14	976.15 ± 13.00	0.95 ± 0.01	72.73 ± 5.61
	T <sub>3</sub>	212.16 ± 1.58	1181.83 ± 18.33	969 ± 1739	0.95 ± 0.01	70.10 ± 1.87
	<i>F value</i>	0.04	0.56	0.62	0.74	0.17
	<i>p value</i>	0.950	0.590	0.560	0.510	0.850
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	T <sub>1</sub>	120.70 ± 0.38	741.48 ± 6.83	620.77 ± 6.96	1.00 ± 0.01	67.68 ± 3.42
	T <sub>2</sub>	120.61 ± 1.22	750.99 ± 13.49	630.38 ± 13.21	1.02 ± 0.01	69.63 ± 2.30
	T <sub>3</sub>	120.57 ± 1.51	764.18 ± 25.22	643.77 ± 26.70	1.03 ± 0.01	71.65 ± 3.24
	<i>F value</i>	0.01	0.45	0.43	0.30	0.43
	<i>p value</i>	0.980	0.650	0.670	0.740	0.660

Figures bearing different letters in a column as superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )

**TABLE 4** Fish yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 6months<sup>-1</sup>) under different treatments during study.

Species	Treatments			<i>F values</i>	<i>p values</i>
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>		
<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	6246.01 ± 370.86 <sup>b</sup>	6554.64 ± 270.30 <sup>b</sup>	8260.08 ± 431.07 <sup>a</sup>	8.90	0.020
<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>	165.37 ± 12.17 <sup>b</sup>	160.89 ± 15.72 <sup>b</sup>	304.38 ± 11.27 <sup>a</sup>	30.75	<0.001
<i>Gibelion catla</i>	113.73 ± 7.94 <sup>b</sup>	218.94 ± 12.63 <sup>b</sup>	122.18 ± 10.50 <sup>a</sup>	38.23	<0.001
<i>Labeo rohita</i>	188.27 ± 12.52 <sup>b</sup>	99.24 ± 2.75 <sup>b</sup>	105.61 ± 3.03 <sup>a</sup>	42.78	<0.001
All species	6713.40 ± 369.91 <sup>b</sup>	7033.72 ± 292.93 <sup>b</sup>	8791.82 ± 420.07 <sup>a</sup>	9.41	0.010

Figures bearing different letters in a row as superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )

**TABLE 5** Economics of *Heteropneustes fossilis* based carp polyculture under different treatments of co-species combination (calculated for 1 ha pond area) for six months culture period from July to December, 2019.

Items	Treatments			<i>F values</i>	<i>p values</i>
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>		
Total cost (BDT ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1024740.00 ± 9865.09 <sup>b</sup>	1043820.00 ± 7483.74 <sup>b</sup>	1175380.00 ± 24524.31 <sup>a</sup>	26.74	<0.001
Total return (BDT ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2374871.61 ± 13279.05 <sup>b</sup>	2489412.99 ± 9926.92 <sup>b</sup>	3144540.72 ± 15594 <sup>a</sup>	9.98	0.010
Net benefit (BDT ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1350131.61 ± 12359.32 <sup>b</sup>	1445592.99 ± 9595.53 <sup>b</sup>	1969160.72 ± 13170.94 <sup>a</sup>	7.96	0.020
CBR	1.32 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.38 ± 0.08 <sup>ab</sup>	1.67 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	4.15	0.040

Figures bearing different letters in a row as superscript differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )

## 4 | DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Water quality parameters

Findings indicated that water quality parameters under the treatments were found more or less within suitable range for fish culture. All metabolic and physiological activities and life processes such as feeding, reproduction, movement and distribution of aquatic organisms are greatly influenced by water temperature (Jhingran 1975). Mean water temperature obtained with the treatments was closer to Boyd (1998) who recommended suitable water temperature of 25 to 32°C for tropical and subtropical species. Boyd (1998) reported transparency between 30 and 45 cm as good for fish culture. Swingle (1967) stated good relationship between pH and fish growth and obtained satisfactory results at pH 6.5 to 9.0. He also reported that water with pH more than 9.5 was unproductive and pH more than 10.0 was lethal for fish. The pH value in alkaline condition in water was supposed to be helpful for proper growth and development of fishes and aquatic organisms (Jhingran 1975). DO content obtained with the treatments was found suitable for fish farming. According to Rahman *et al.* (1992), DO content of a good productive pond should be 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> or more. Banerjee (1967) and Bhuiyan (1970) reported 5.0 to 7.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of DO content of water was fair or good in respect of high productivity and water having DO less than 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> to be unproductive. Alikunhi (1957) reported that total alkalinity more than 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> should be present in high productive water bodies. Comparatively lower values of water pH, transparency and alkalinity obtained with the treatments might be due to the characteristic soil-water quality of the study area (Hossain 2011). Comparatively lower mean values of CO<sub>2</sub> were measured in the pond water under different treatments. Lower CO<sub>2</sub> values are linked with low temperature and lower organic decomposition and higher rate of photosynthesis (Rahman *et al.* 2017). The ammonia-nitrogen was found lower than Rahman *et al.* (2014) who reported ammonia-nitrogen contents from 0.08 to 0.12 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in the mono culture of *H. fossilis* farming ponds. Boyd (1998) suggested suitable value for ammonia-nitrogen as less than 0.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The mean TDS values obtained with the treatments seems to be suitable range of fish culture as fish do not appear to be affected by standard concentrations of TDS of 2000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Rana and Jain 2017). The results of the present study also more or less agrees with the findings of Ansari *et al.* (2015) who worked on phytoplankton diversity and water quality assessment of pond in India and Mohammad *et al.* (2015) who studied TDS in water reservoir in India. Comparatively lower plankton concentration recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> might be due to the inclusion of higher proportion of silver carp having ability to control plankton bloom, increase DO level and decrease ammonia level in ponds (Milstein *et al.* 2008). Moreover, silver carp can

effectively filter phytoplankton, therefore, this biological treatment using silver carp shows a great prospect in pre-treating phytoplankton dominated eutrophic water (Ma *et al.* 2010).

### 4.2 Fish growth and yield

In the present study lower weight gain of *H. fossilis* was found with starting period which might be due to fry weight below the certain point (catch up growth) and rapid weight gain in the later fortnights might be due to higher metabolic rate at suitable temperature and other optimal water quality parameters. The effect of ambient temperature on growth has been studied on various organisms, including many fish species. Generally, increasing the prevailing temperature leads to exponentially increasing growth starting from a certain point of size up to a certain point after which growth starts to rapidly decrease (Jobling *et al.* 1994). Slow growth due to slow metabolic activity of fish is found in lower water temperature (Boyd 1998).

The results of the experiment indicated that the yield of *H. fossilis* of treatment T<sub>3</sub> was found to be 32.25% and 26.02% higher than treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> respectively. The yield of carps in treatment T<sub>3</sub> was 13.87% and 11.08% higher than treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> respectively. Furthermore, the combined fish yield of treatment T<sub>3</sub> was measured at 30.96% and 25% higher compared to treatment T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> respectively. The highest fish yield with treatment T<sub>3</sub> might be due to the significant role of *H. molitrix* which assisted in maintaining a good pond environment in terms of water quality (effective utilisation of plankton, increased level of DO content and decreased level of harmful gasses) which ultimately enhanced the growth of other fishes. Treatment T<sub>3</sub> varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the mean final weight, weight gain and SGR of *H. fossilis*. Samad *et al.* (2017) recorded final weight of *H. fossilis* as 34.50 to 48.10 g using formulated feed having 27 – 31% dietary protein level at 5% of fish body weight. The survival rate of *H. fossilis* recorded in this study was almost closer to the range reported by Chakraborty and Nur (2012) who recorded survival rate of *H. fossilis* between 67.74 and 90.76% in semi-intensive polyculture with *Anabas testudineus*. The highest value of survival rate of *H. fossilis* was recorded with treatment T<sub>3</sub> which received the highest number of *H. molitrix* during stocking. However, such role of *H. molitrix* to maintain good water quality and to improve the fish growth and yield was also strongly supported by Milstein *et al.* (2008) while working on carp polyculture with small indigenous fishes in ponds. The yield obtained in the present study was much higher than the recorded value of Chakraborty and Mirja (2008) who recorded the yield of *H. fossilis* varied from 7276 to 4512 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 8months<sup>-1</sup> in monoculture systems at different stocking densities fed on commercial feeds in earthen ponds. Therefore, yield of the present

study indicated that suitable appropriate inclusion of *H. molitrix* (*H. molitrix* dominant polyculture) was beneficial for *H. fossilis* based farming in earthen ponds.

#### 4.3 Production economics

Net benefit of treatment T<sub>3</sub> was 36.22% and 45.85% higher than the treatments T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> respectively. Ali *et al.* (2018) recorded net benefits as 636779 to 1517771 BDT ha<sup>-1</sup> in six months while Saokat *et al.* (2017) estimated the profitability of *H. fossilis* culture in seasonal water bodies in greater northern region of Bangladesh and got the net benefit of BDT 959116.04 ha<sup>-1</sup> in five months. Moreover, Rahman *et al.* (2014) obtained net benefit of 9277.32 BDT ha<sup>-1</sup> in six months of *H. fossilis* farming in ponds. The net benefit obtained in this study was higher than the recorded values which might be due to increased production in *H. fossilis* based polyculture compared to monoculture of the species. The results of the present study also suggested that the *H. molitrix* dominated species composition of carps was more profitable for *H. fossilis* based carp polyculture in earthen ponds.

#### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

Overall findings indicated that *H. fossilis* culture in homestead ponds with carps dominated by *H. molitrix* is the best in terms of water quality; fish growth and yield; and economics. This study used only one stocking density of *H. fossilis*. Further study is required for optimising the stocking density of *H. fossilis* in polyculture of carps in homestead ponds under drought prone area.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to GARE/BANBEIS/Ministry of Education/GoB for providing financial support (Memo No.37.20.0000.004.033.020.2016.1053 dated 13.10.2019) for this research project to address critical climate change issues of aquaculture in homestead ponds under the draught prone area of Bangladesh.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbink W, Garcia AB, Roques JAC, Partridge GJ, Kloet K, Schneider O (2012) [The effect of temperature and pH on the growth and physiological response of juvenile yellowtail kingfish \*Seriola lalandi\* in recirculating aquaculture systems](#). *Aquaculture* 330–333: 130–135.
- Ali A, Rahman MR, Alam MJ, Nishat AA, Rabbi MF, ... Ullah MA (2018) Production of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) in different stocking densities with GIFT (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and Thai Sharpunti (*Barramundi*) in ponds. *Journal of Fisheries and Life Sciences* 3(1): 9–15.
- Alikunhi KH (1957) *Fish culture in India*. Farm Bulletin. No. 20. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 144 pp.
- Ansari E, Gadhia M, Ujjania NC (2015) Phytoplankton diversity and water quality assessment of ONGC pond, Hazira. *International Journal of Research in Environmental Science* 1(1): 1–5.
- Banerjee SM (1967) Water quality and soil condition of fishponds in states of India in relation to fish production. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 14: 115–144.
- Bellinger EG (1992) *A key to common Algae: freshwater, estuarine and coastal species*. The institute of Water and Environmental Management, London, UK. 138 pp.
- Belton B, Karim M, Thilsted S, Murshed-E-Jahan K, Collis W, Phillips M (2011) Review of aquaculture and fish consumption in Bangladesh. *Studies and Reviews* 2011-53. The WorldFish Center. 76 pp.
- Bhuyian BR (1970) Physico-chemical qualities of water of some ancient tanks in Sibsagar, Assam. *Environmental Health* 12: 129–134.
- Boyd CE (1998) *Water quality for pond aquaculture*. Research and development series No. 43. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station. Auburn University, Alabama, USA. 37 pp.
- Chaki N, Jahan S, Fahad MFH, Galib SM, Mohsin ABM (2014) [Environment and fish fauna of the Atrai River: global and local conservation perspective](#). *Journal of Fisheries* 2(3): 163–172.
- Chakraborty BK, Mirza JA (2008) Growth and yield performance of threatened singi, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch) under semi intensive aquaculture of Bangladesh. *Journal of the Fisheries Society of Taiwan* 35(2): 175–183.
- Chakraborty BK, Nur NN (2012) Growth and yield performance of stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* and koi, *Anabas testudineus* in Bangladesh under semi-intensive culture systems. *International Journal of Agricultural Research, Innovation and Technology* 2 (2): 15–24.
- DoF (2018) *Yearbook of fisheries statistics of Bangladesh 2017-18*. Fisheries Resources Survey System (FRSS), Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh. 129 pp.
- Galib SM, Samad MA, Hossain MA, Mohsin ABM, Haque SMM (2010) Small indigenous species of fishes (SISF) in Chalan Beel with reference to their harvesting and marketing. *Bangladesh Journal of Progressive Science and Technology* 8(2): 251–254.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984) *Statistical procedure for agricultural research*, 2nd Edition. John Wiley & Sons. 697 pp.
- Halim MA, Mondal DK, Salam MA, Hossain MS (2017) Impacts of climate change on pond fish farming in Amtoli, Borguna, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Fisheries*

- and Aquatic Studies 5(2): 38–41.
- Hossain MA (2011) Effect of fertilization techniques on fish growth in ponds under red soil zone of northern Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Progressive Science and Technology* IX(2): 192–196.
- Hossain MA, Hossain MA, Haque MA, Mondol MMR, Rashid MHU (2020) [Determination of suitable species combination for good aquaculture practice based carp fattening in ponds under drought prone barind area of Bangladesh](#). *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 5(2): 114–122.
- Hossain MA, Mohsin ABM, Galib SM, Alam R, Samad AOMA (2009) Potentials of khas (public) ponds and kharis (canals) in Barind tracts: sustainable rural livelihoods in the face of climate change. *Bangladesh Journal of Progressive Science and Technology* 7(1): 49–52.
- Imteazzaman AM, Galib SM (2013) Fish fauna of Halti Beel, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Current Research* 5(1): 187–190.
- Jahan MK, Ahmed M, Belton B (2010) [The impacts of aquaculture development on food security: lessons from Bangladesh](#). *Aquaculture Research* 41(4): 481–495.
- Jhingran VG (1975) Fish and fisheries of India. Hindustan Publishing Corporation, India, Delhi.
- Joadder MAR, Galib SM, Haque SMM, Chaki N (2015) [Fishes of the river Padma, Bangladesh: current trend and conservation status](#). *Journal of Fisheries* 3(2): 259–266.
- Jobling M, Meloy OH, Santos JD, Christiansen B (1994) The compensatory growth response of the Atlantic Cod: effects of nutritional history. *Aquaculture International* 2: 75–90.
- Khan MN, Islam AKMS, Hossain MG (2003) [Marginal analysis of culture of stinging catfish \(\*Heteropneustes fossilis\*, Bloch\): effect of different stocking densities in earthen ponds](#). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 6(7): 666–670.
- Ma H, Cui F, Liu Z, Fan Z, He W, Yin P (2010) Effect of filter-feeding fish silver carp on phytoplankton species and size distribution in surface water: a field study in water works. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 22(2): 161–167.
- Milstein A, Kadir A, Wahab MA (2008) [The effects of partially substituting Indian carps or adding silver carp on polycultures including small indigenous fish species \(SIS\)](#). *Aquaculture* 279(1–4): 92–98.
- Mohammad MJ, Krishna PV, Lamma OA, Khan S (2015) Analysis of water quality using limnological studies of Wyra Reservoir, Khammam District, Telengana, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 4(2): 880–895.
- Prescott GW (1962) *Algae of the Western Great Lakes Area*. WMC. Grown Co., Inc. Dubugue, Iowa. 946 pp.
- Rahaman AKMF, Mansur MA, Rahman MS (2017) [Monthly and diurnal variations of limnological conditions of two ponds](#). *International Journal of Agricultural Research, Innovation and Technology* 7(1): 14–20.
- Rahman MS, Chowdhury MY, Haque AKMA and Haq MS (1992) Limnological studies of four ponds. *Bangladesh Journal of Fisheries* 3–5: 63–68.
- Rahman S, Monir SM, Shirajum MMH (2014) Culture potentials of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) under different stocking densities in northern region of Bangladesh. *Trends in Fisheries Research* 3(3): 1–6.
- Rana N, Jain S (2017) Assessment of physico-chemical parameters of freshwater ponds of district Bijnor (U. P), India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 5(4): 524–528.
- Samad MA, Nahiduzzaman M, Ashrafuzzaman M, Harun-ur-Rashid MA, Akter M (2017) Culture of indigenous catfish shingi, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch, 1794), with available low cost formulated feed in earthen ponds of Bangladesh. *Journal of Coastal Life Medicine* 5(7): 288–292.
- Saokat A, Hasan KR, Hossain M, Mahmud Y, Rahman MK (2017) Adaptability of polyculture of stinging catfish (*Heteropneustes fossilis*) in seasonal water bodies of greater northern region, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies* 5(1): 433–439.
- Shang YC (1990) *Aquaculture economic analysis: an introduction*. The World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge. 211 pp.
- Stirling HP (1985) *Chemical and Biological methods of water analysis for aquaculture*. Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Scotland. 119 pp.
- Swingle HS (1967) Standardization of chemical analysis for waters and pond muds. *FAO Fisheries Report* 4(4): 397–421.
- Talukder MGS, Mohsin ABM, Hossain MA, Khan MRI (2017) [Optimization of stocking weight in carp polyculture ponds under drought prone Barind area of Bangladesh](#). *Journal of Fisheries* 5(3): 519–524.
- Wahab MA, Sakib MN, Borski RJ (2014) Effect of different feeding regimes on growth and production performance of air-breathing shing, stinging catfish *Heteropneustes fossilis* and major carps in pond polyculture (abstract). In: *Proceedings of the Conference Aquaculture America 2014*. World Aquaculture Society. Seattle, Washington, USA, 9–12 February 2014.
- Ward HB, Whipple GC (1959) *Freshwater Biology*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. Washington DC 20006, USA.

#### CONTRIBUTION OF THE AUTHORS

**SMNN** Research design, primary data collection and validation, statistical analysis and draft manuscript (MS) writing; **MAH** assist in research designing, review and validation of data and statistical analysis, review and finalization of the MS; **MMA** assist in statistical analysis and drafting MS preparation; **MHR** review primary data and data analysis; **MAH** review and comments on draft MS.