Socio-economic status of fishermen community of Wular Lake in district Bandipora of Jammu and Kashmir

Neelofer Habib¹ • Salima Jan²

¹ Department of Sociology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India

² Department of Educational Multimedia Research Centre, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Correspondence

Neelofer Habib; Department of Sociology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, Jammu and Kashmir, India Zargarneelofer@gmail.com

Manuscript history

Received 26 July 2021 | Revised 27 September 2021 | Accepted 28 September 2021 | Published online 20 December 2021

Citation

Habib N, Jan S (2021) Socio-economic status of fishermen community of Wular Lake in district Bandipora of Jammu and Kashmir. Journal of Fisheries 9(3): 93205. DOI: 10.17017/j.fish.357

Abstract

The present study investigates the socio-economic status of fishermen community of Wular Lake in district Bandipora of Jammu and Kashmir. Data were collected through structured interview schedule from 150 respondents from fishermen community between July and September 2020. It was found that 34.7% of the respondents fall in to the age group of 41–50 years and 56.0% were married. Majority of the respondents were illiterate (61.3%) and over half of the respondents (59.3%) earned monthly Rs.1000–5000. The study also explored that 93.3% of the respondents lived in their owned houses. The family size of fishing community was usually consisted of 7–10 members and 51.3% of them lived in a joint family system. However, most of them (54.66%) were dependent on river/canal water as drinking source and 59.3% were having dry latrine. It may be concluded that the fishermen community in the study area was the disadvantaged group of the society. Government, NGOs and respective stakeholders should come forward to take proper and necessary steps to improve their living conditions.

Keywords: Bandipora district; fishermen community; livelihood; socio-economic status; Wular Lake

1 | INTRODUCTION

Fishing is one of the oldest economic activities of human race, comes next only to agriculture (Kumbhar 2017). Fisheries sector play an important role on the socio-economic development of fishermen community (Islam *et al.* 2013; Galib *et al.* 2016; Hanif *et al.* 2019). According to International Collective in Support of Fishworkers, the fisheries sector is an important source of life and livelihood for millions of people around the world (ICSF 2010). Fisheries and aquaculture is one of the fastest-growing industries in the world (Tacon 2020) and has been playing an significant role in the economic development by contributing to food and nutritional security, national income, employment opportunities as well as generating livelihood options (Kumar and Shivani 2014). For billions of people worldwide, it is the primary source of animal protein where capture fishery and aquaculture serves the livelihoods of more than 10% of the global population (FAO 2020). In 2016 the global fish production was estimated 171 million metric tonnes (mmt), which includes production from aquaculture (80.0 mmt) and capture fisheries (91.0 mmt) (FAO 2020). Since the eighties, the capture fishery production has been relatively static, while production from aquaculture has been registering a steady growth and meeting the increasing supplies of fish for human consumption. China remains the major producer of marine fisheries followed by Indonesia, the United States of America, the Russian Federation, Peru and India (FAO 2020). It is predicted that the total world fish production will reach 201 mmt in 2030 and the major contribution (109 mmt) is expected to come from aquaculture, registering a growth of 37 per cent over 2016 production (FAO 2020). In 2016, nearly 59.6 million people were engaged in capture fisheries and aquaculture, with 19.3 million people engaged in aquaculture and 40.3 million people engaged in fisheries (FAO 2020). Asia accounts for nearly 85% of the global population engaged in fisheries and aquaculture, followed by Africa (10%) and Latin America and the Caribbean (4%) Europe, North America and Oceania each has less than 1 per cent of the global population engaged in fisheries and aquaculture (FAO 2018). Around 61% of the fish stocks are fully exploited and 28.8% fished at a biologically unsustainable level (SBSTTA 2015).

Millions of people around the globe including many in developing countries like India depend on fisheries for their livelihood. India currently ranks 3rd in capture fisheries and 2nd in aquaculture production in the world, contributing 6.3% to the total global fish production (Anonymous 2020). India has a rich freshwater fish diversity comprising of 1027 species in total (Gopi et al. 2017). Fisheries sector contributes significantly to the Indian economy by providing livelihood to approximately 14.49 million people (Sabu and Shajumon 2014). Fishing is generally considered a low profession in developing countries including India and is practiced mainly by the members of backward communities; largely illiterate, superstitious and financially poor (Flowra et al. 2009). The main factor that has influenced this profession is the socio-economic condition of the fishermen community (Qayoom et al. 2016). The fisheries sector plays an important role in the boosting of the Indian economy by contributing to the national income, employment generation, and foreign exchange earnings (Bhargavi et al. 2020). Fish and fishery products have been recognised as the cheapest source of protein and an important source of diet for thousands of people in many parts of the world. It is estimated that more than 12 million people are directly engaged in fishing activities and about 60 million are exclusively depend on fisheries activities for livelihood in India (Rao et al. 2016; Bhargavi et al. 2020). Fishermen are one of the most vulnerable communities by any standard and over the years and most of them live from hand to mouth (Ali et al. 2014).

The Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir (J & K) is blessed with abundant water resources. In Jammu and Kashmir, there are about 1248 water bodies, which comprise rivers and canals, reservoirs, tanks and ponds, floodplains, and derelict water bodies (DAHDF 2017). Fishing is a growing sector in the economy of Jammu and Kashmir which has been registering consistent growth during the past decades (FAO 2008). Fisheries sector is considered an emerging venture which possesses a potential to contribute to state's economy in Jammu and Kashmir. Its role in supplementing nutrients and food demand, and generating employment cannot be denied. At the same time it is an instrument of livelihood for a certain section of population of the valley. More than 10% of population in the valley depend on capture fisheries and aquaculture for their livelihood (Baht and Sharma 2020). Agriculture is the primary occupation of 70% population in Kashmir, of which 15% are involved in fisheries (Baht and Sharma 2020). Fisheries constitute a major source of income in Kashmir and lake fisheries are a multi-dimensional resource and serve many uses. The Wular is an important lake of Kashmir and represents the largest freshwater lake of India. Traditionally, this lake has had a flourishing fishery but studies have shown a decline in fish catches in recent times (Shah and Bukhari 2019).

Kashmir fisheries form an important component of the national fisheries with valley being the largest producer of cold water fish in the country. The total fishermen population in the Jammu and Kashmir State, as per livestock census 2003, was around 31000 (Hussain et al. 2016). It is presently estimated as 93000 (Bhat 2016). There is a vast potential of fish production from water bodies of the state but it has been reported that unscientific culture practices, lack of technical staff, and nonutilisation of water bodies are responsible for low fish production (Malik et al. 2018). Many studies have also reported concerns on pollution, siltation in water bodies, and unscientific cultural practices as the reason for the decline in fisheries (e.g. Qayoom and Bhat 2015). For the proper development of the fishing industry and fishermen, the socio-economic advancement is necessary. Despite being the inhabitants of Wular Lake, a Ramsar site and an important fish habitat, the fishers continue to live in vicious cycle of poverty and underdevelopment (Malik et al. 2018). In Jammu and Kashmir, many studies have been carried out on the socio-economic condition of fishermen community living on the banks of river Jhelum, Dal Lake and Anchar Lake but not on Wular Lake. This study has a wider scope as no such kind of research has been carried out in Kashmir. Hence the present study was undertaken to assess the socio-economic status of fishermen communities residing by Wular Lake.

2 | METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study area and duration

The study was carried out in Bandipora district of UT, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Bandipora district is one of the 22 districts of UT with 385099 population (Census of India 2011). This study was conducted in Bandipora district, which is also called the fish bowl of the state and is a major fish producing district in the valley. In spite of having a very high fish production the fishing community of the district belongs to deprived section of the society (Malik *et al.* 2017). Wular is spread over two districts of

Jammu and Kashmir, Bandipora and Sopore tehsil of Baramulla. It is located approximately 40 kilometres northwest of Srinagar city (34°16′ - 34°25′N, 74°29′E -74°40'E) with an elevation of 1580 meters above sea level (Dar et al. 2014). Wular Lake is drained by three major rivers of Madhumati, Erin and Jhelum. The river Jhelum enters it at Banyari (40 Kms from Srinagar) and again separates at Ningli. This lake plays an important role in the sustenance of a large population spread over about 31 villages living around the lake within the districts of Baramulla and Bandipora (Census of India 2001). Wular Lake plays a key role in supporting the human population through producing water chestnuts, fishes, fodder, nadru (i.e. lotus stem) and wood. There are around 3700 fishing license holders in the lake including 2500 from Bandipora and 1200 from Sopore districts (DoF 2019).

2.2 Sampling framework

For this study, the data were collected over a period of three months from July to September 2020. Field surveys were carried out on a weekly basis to collect the necessary information. Random selection method was employed to select fishermen in order to avoid any biasness in selection of the fishermen. A total of 150 fishermen were surveyed.

Structured interview schedule was prepared to collect necessary data from the respondents. Before the actual data collection process, a pilot study was conducted with 20 respondents from the fisherman community in Bandipora district. After necessary modifications final version of interview schedule was used to collect data. However, all the data were cross-checked for ensuring the accuracy of data collected.

2.3 Data analysis

Collected data were numerically tabulated and analysed through simple statistical methods in Microsoft Excel (version 2016).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The livelihoods of the fishermen community depended on the fishing and fishing-related activities in the study area (Table 1).

3.1 Age

From the analysis of background information, the study showed that respondents belonging to different age groups ranging from below 30 to above 50 years (Table 1). Out of total 150 respondents, 22.66% were within the age group 31 - 40, 16.66% were falling below 30 years, 34.66% of the respondents were 41 - 50 years old. It could be indicated that majority of the respondents in the study area were in the age group of 41 - 50 years. Similar study was done by Islam *et al.* (2013) surveyed that age of the respondent fishermen was 5.22 ± 9.67 years. Another

study was done by Bhargavi *et al.* (2020) and reported that 61.6% of the respondents were belonging to age group of 31 - 56 years.

3.2 Marital status

In the level of marital status 56.66% of the respondents were married and the remaining 17.33% of the respondents were unmarried and 20% were widow and remaining 6.66% were separated (Table 1). It could be noted that the vast majority of the respondents in the study area were married. A similar study was done by Akhter *et al.* (2017) who found that 77% of the respondents were married and 23% was unmarried.

TABLE 1 Distribution of the	respondents by their demo-
graphic variables (<i>n</i> = 150).	

Variable	Categories	Number of re- spondents (n)	Percentage (%)
Age (years)	Below 30	25	16.66
	31 - 40	34	22.66
	41 - 50	52	34.66
	Above 50	39	26.00
Marital	Married	84	56.00
status	Unmarried	26	17.33
	Widow	30	20.00
	Separate	10	6.66
Education	Literate	58	38.66
	Illiterate	92	61.33
Monthly	< 1000	29	19.33
income (in	1000–5000	89	59.33
Indian Ru-	5000-10000	24	16.00
pees)	> 10000	8	5.33
Ownership	Rented	10	6.66
of houses	Own	140	93.33
Type of	Joint	77	51.33
family	Nuclear	73	48.66
Family size	Small (2–4)	15	10.00
	Medium (5–6)	50	33.33
	Large (7–10)	85	56.66
Housing conditions	Government scheme house	6	4.00
conultions	Katcha house	16	10.66
	Pucca house	25	16.66
	Semi pucca	66	44.00
	Tin shed	37	24.66
Drinking	Tube well	43	28.66
Drinking	River / canal	82	54.66
water facilities		10	6.66
	Tap water		
Conitory	Tank/pond	15	10.00
Sanitary facilities	Dry latrine	89	59.33
	Septic tank / flush	61	40.66
Health	Yes	84	56.00
facilities	No	66	44.00

With respect to the educational level of the respondents the maximum respondents were illiterate (61.33%) and 38.66% were literate. Similar result was also reported by Islam *et al.* (2013) who have reported that majority of the fishermen in Jessore district were illiterate. Saxena *et al.* (2014) revealed that 80 per cent of respondents were found illiterate.

3.4 Monthly income

Income is the most important factor for better understanding of the socio-economic conditions of fishermen. Regarding their wages category, 19.33% of the respondents earned below Rs 1000 monthly and majority of the respondents (59.33%) earned Rs 1000 – 5000 monthly (Table 1). A study was done by Sheikh and Goswami (2013) revealed that monthly income of 35% of the respondents in Chandakhola wetland, Dhubri, Assam was low (<Rs 3000) followed by Rs 3001 – 4500 (20%) and Rs 4501 – 6000 (30%).

3.5 Ownership of houses

With respect to the ownership of houses 93.33% of the respondents lived in owned houses whereas remaining 6.66% of the respondents lived in rented houses (Table 1). A study by Das *et al.* (2015) revealed that 60% of the fishermen were landless and they had no arable land and about 30% of the fishermen owned only 1 - 40 decimal homestead land.

3.6 Family types

The survey results showed that 48.66% of the respondents lived as nuclear families whereas remaining 51.33% lived in joint families. Bhargavi *et al.* (2020) showed that 64.9% of the respondents lived as nuclear family whereas 35.1% lived in joint families in their study area. Baki *et al.* (2015) found that 60% of respondents lived in nuclear families and 40% of respondents lived in joint families which is not similar to our study.

3.7 Family sizes

Majority of the respondents (56.66%) lived in large families, comprised of 7 – 6 members whereas the size of 33.33% of the respondents was medium, with 5–6 members (Table 1). Bharagavi *et al.* (2020) recorded that family size of 48.7% of the respondents was \leq 5 members and 51.3% respondents lived in a family of >5 members. Baki *et al.* (2015) found that majority of the respondents (46%) lived in a family of 5 – 6 members.

3.8 Housing condition

The nature of house also indicates the social status of the people. Most of the fishermen lived in very poor houses. The study showed that only 4% of the respondents were dwelling in the government-schemed houses whereas

majority of the respondents (44%) were living in semipucca houses (Table 1). A study by Ghosh *et al.* (2015) revealed that most of the fishermen lived in very poor housing conditions in Bangladesh. They have recorded that majority (60%) of the respondents were living in tinshaded houses whereas 14% of the respondents living in straw-made houses. In another study, Baki *et al.* (2015) found that 66% houses were mud-built.

3.9 Drinking water sources

Drinking water facility can directly impact the health conditions of the fishermen. In the study area, households of only 28.66% respondents had access to tube-well water for drinking. Unfortunately, 54.66% of the respondents were drinking river/canal water (Table 1). A slightly better situation was recorded by Baki *et al.* (2015) who have reported that 50% fishermen had access to tube well was for drinking.

3.10 Sanitary facilities

The present study revealed that respondent fishermen were facing sanitary problems. Families of the majority of the respondents (59.33%) used dry latrine whereas remaining 40.66% used septic tank/flush (Table 1). Similar findings were also reported by Ghosh *et al.* (2015).

3.11 Health facilities

Access to health facilities by the fishermen was not satisfactory at all in the study area as there was a lack of good medical facility. Only 44% fishermen were receiving health treatments from unskilled non-professional village doctor. Ali *et al.* (2010) reported that 60% of the respondents were relying on village doctors and only 10% received better health services from professionals. However, fishing can be a life-threatening venture due to the nature of the work under adverse environmental conditions and therefore, fishermen require access to quality health services which is not available in most cases (Parvez *et al.* 2017; Rahman *et al.* 2020).

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In view of aforesaid result and discussions, it may be concluded that the socio-economic condition of the fishermen community in Wular Lake is very poor and miserable. They have no other income generating activities except fishing, which cannot be carried out throughout the year. Their socio-economic development is negligible. Despite full engagement in fishery-related activities poor socioeconomic conditions of the fishermen in Bandipora district of Jammu and Kashmir was revealed in this study. The fishing community of the study area were found to belong to the disadvantaged group of the society. The monthly income per fishermen was comparatively lower from the national per capita income. The income of fishery community in Kashmir is less than what Suresh Tendulkar report of India 2009, calculated for rural house-hold.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the fishermen community of the study area who passionately shared their views with us.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

NH involved in sample collection, data analysis and manuscript preparation; **SJ** participated in manuscript preparation.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Akhter S, Saha SG, Hossain A, Islam MN (2017) Livelihood strategies of reverine fishing communities of the river Padma near Rajshahi City Corporation. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 5(2): 195–199.
- Ali MM, Hossain MB, Minar MH, Rahman S, Islam MS (2014) Socio-economic aspects of the fishermen of Lohalia River, Bangladesh. Middle East Journal of Scientific Research 19(2): 191–195.
- Ali H, Azad MAK, Anisuzzaman M, Chowdhury MMR, Hoque M, Shariful MI (2010) Livelihood status of the fish farmers in some selected areas of Tarakand upazila of Mymensingh district. Journal of Agroforestry and Environment 3(2): 85–89.
- Anonymous (2020) National Fisheries Development Board (NFDB), Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry & Dairying, Government of India. Available at http://nfdb.gov.in/aboutindianfisheries.htm. Accessed in June 2020.
- Baki MA, Islam MR, Hossain MM, Bhouiyan NA (2015) Livelihood status and assessment of fishing community in adjacent area of Turag-Buriganga river, Dhaka, Bangladesh. International Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology 3(4): 347–353.
- Bhargavi K, Chirwatkar BB, Das A, Behera S, Bhakta D (2020) Socio-economic status of fisherwomen community in coastal Vizianagaram district of Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Fisheries 8(1): 741–745.
- Bhat AA (2016) Fisheries in Kashmir: shrinking breeding grounds declining production. Greater Kashmir. 23 December. Available at greaterkashmir.com
- Bhat NM, Sharma A (2020) Applying a gender lens to the constraints faced by fisheries of Kashmir. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 9(20): 1028–1032.

Census of India (2001) Office of the Registrar general and

census commissioner, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.

- Census of India (2011) District census handbook Bandipora, Jammu and Kashmir. Village and town wise primary census abstract. Directorate of census operations, Jammu and Kashmir.
- DADHF (2017) Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries. https://www.dahd.nic.in 2019.
- Dar SA, Desai AY, Rather AM, Sayani AN, Parmar ER, ... Chesti A (2014) Fishing gears along the Wular Lake, Jammu & Kashmir, India. Ecology, Environment & Conservation 20: 46–47.
- Das MR, Ray S, Kumar U, Begum S, Tarafdar SR (2015) Livelihood assessment of the fishermen community in the South West region of Bangladesh. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences 3(4): 353–361.
- DoF (2019) Department of Fisheries, Jammu and Kashmir, India. http://jkfisheries.in.
- FAO (2008) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO (2018) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture. Sustainability in action. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO (2020) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture. Sustainability in action. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. 244 pp.
- Flowra FA, Alam MB, Hossain MA, Samad MA, Galib SM (2009) Livelihood aspects of fishermen of the Dahia Beel under Natore District, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Progressive Science and Technology 7(2): 283–284.
- Galib SM, Hoque MN, Akter S, Chaki N, Mohsin ABM (2016) Livelihood, climate change and fisheries: a case study of three fishing communities of north-western Bangladesh. International Research Journal of Social Sciences 5(8): 18–25.
- Ghosh SK, Ahmmed MK, Ahmed SI, Ahsan MK, Kamal M (2015) Study on the socioeconomic conditions of the fishermen in Teknaf. Research Agricultural Livestock Fisheries 2(3): 483–489.
- Gopi KC, Mishra SS, Kosygin L (2017) Pisces. Current status of freshwater faunal diversity in India. Director, Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, India. pp. 527–570.
- Hanif MS, Iqbal KJ, Javid A, Khan N, Irfan, Majeed H, Altaf M (2019) Socio economic status of fishermen community, south Punjab, Pakistan. Punjab University Journal of Zoology 4(2): 115–118.
- Hussain N, Balkhi MH, Bhat TH, Dar SA (2016) Socioeconomic status of fishermen in district Srinagar of Jammu and Kashmir. IRA-International Journal of Management & Social Sciences 5(1): 239–244.
- ICSF (2010) Women fish vendors in India an information

booklet (draft for comments). International Collectives in Support of Fish Workers, Chennai.

- Islam MR, Hoque MN, Galib SM, Rahman MA (2013) Livelihood of the fishermen in Monirampur Upazila of Jessore district, Bangladesh. Journal of Fisheries 1(1): 37–41.
- Kumar ST, Shivani P (2014) Marine fisheries; its current status, sustainable management and socioeconomic status of the marine fishers of Odisha, through Indian Marine Policy: a case study. Research Journal of Animal, Veterinary and Fishery Sciences 2(7): 10–19.
- Kumbhar AC (2017) Socio- economic status of fisherman around Ekrukh Water Reservoir of North Solapur Tahsil Dist. Solapur (M.S.). Global Journal of Research Analysis 6(6): 222–223.
- Malik R, Abubakr A, Bhat BA (2017) Constraint analysis and possible solutions for development of inland fishers in district Bandipora of Kashmir valley. International Journal of Engineering and Management Research 7(5): 17–26.
- Malik R, Abubakr A, Hussain N (2018) Indigenous fish attractants, fishing methods, gears and storage: a study in fishing community of Wular Lake of district Bandipora of Kashmir valley. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 7(4): 1751–1755.
- Parvez MT, Mohsin ABM, Chaki N, Galib SM (2017) Risking lives for living: a study on underwater fishing in the Padma River, Bangladesh. Journal of Fisheries 5(3): 525–530.
- Qayoom I, Bhat BA (2015) Change in fishing patterns in Jammu and Kashmir provinces of J and K a comparative study. Current World Environment 10(1): 179.
- Qayoom I, Hussain N, Bhat TA, Balkhi MA, Bhat BA (2016) A study of socio-economic status of fisher communities in district Srinagar of Jammu & Kashmir. Indian Journal of Economics and Development 4(7): 1–6.
- Rahman MM, Haque SM, Galib SM, Islam MA, Parvez MT, ... Brown C (2020) Mud crab fishery in climate vulnerable coastal Bangladesh: an analysis towards sustainable development. Aquaculture International 28: 1243–1268.
- Rao GS, Sathianandan TV, Kuriakose S, Mini KG, Najmudeen TM, ... Mathew WT (2016) Demographic and socio-economic changes in the coastal fishing community of India. Indian Journal of Fisheries 63(4): 1– 9.
- Sabu M, Shajumon CS (2014) Socio-economic impact of information and communication technology: a case study of Kerala marine fisheries sector. International Journal of Information Dissemination and Technology 4(2): 124.
- Saxena A, Singh RN, Ayatulla C (2014) The socio-economic status of fishermen of district Rampur, Uttar Pradesh. Trends in Fisheries Research 3(3): 1–4.

- SBSTTA (2015) Biodiversity and fisheries, strategic scientific and technical issues related to the implementation of the strategic plan for biodiversity 2011-2020. Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice. UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/19/INF/6.
- Shah RH, Bukhari R (2019) Strengthening the role of women in fisheries and aquaculture. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 7(3): 648–654.
- Sheikh S, Goswami MM (2013) Socio-economic condition of fishers of Chandakhola wetland, Dhubri, Assam, India. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences 3(1): 257–261.
- Tacon AG (2020) Trends in global aquaculture and aqua feed production: 2000–2017. Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture 28(1): 43–56.



N Habib Inttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7784-0548