

Short Communication DOI: https://doi.org/10.17017/j.fish.122

# Directional preference of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) movements on the Yorkshire Coast

# James Michael Wood

University of Hull, UK and North Eastern Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority, UK

#### Correspondence

Dr James Michael Wood; North Eastern Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority, UK Email: jamesmwood101@gmail.com and james.wood@eastriding.gov.uk

#### Manuscript history

Received: 01 Jan 2016; Received in revised form: 21 Aug 2016; Accepted: 23 Aug 2016; Published online: 31 Aug 2016

#### Citation

Wood JM (2016) Directional preference of European lobster (*Homarus gammarus*) movements on the Yorkshire Coast. Journal of Fisheries 4(2): 407-410. DOI: 10.17017/j.fish.122

## Abstract

Recaptures of 838 tagged *Homarus gammarus* individuals identified a directional preference, with bearings from release to recapture concentrated along a North-West to South-East axis, aligning with the predominant current direction for the study site.

Keywords: Homarus gammarus; directional preference; tagging

### INTRODUCTION

A contemporary approach to the management of UK crustacean stocks has been the application of localised spatial management systems, which have been utilised in a series of areas to restrict either total extractions or effort capacity (Smith 2010). The European lobster, Homarus gammarus (Linnaeus 1758) has been proposed as a favourable candidate for these spatial systems, as the species is considered to exhibit a strong site fidelity and are a main target species for concentrated static pot fisheries (Moland et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2001; Bannister et al. 1994). However movement patterns of H. gammarus are relatively unknown with behavioural inferences mainly drawn from small scale experimentation, short-term assessments or historic trials (Skerrit et al. 2013; Moland et al. 2011; Bannister et al. 1994; Hepper 1978). This communication outlines a significant observation of H. gammarus movements from a mass tag and recapture programme, identifying that movements within the study stock were subject to directional preferences.

# METHODOLOGY

To determine the movement patterns and general dispersions trends of H. gammarus, 10,000 individuals were tagged and released from 2007 to 2009 by offshore observers accompanying commercial fishing vessels. Animals were released in a strategic manner with releases spread over five general survey areas of the Yorkshire Coast study site (Figure 1). Monthly research trips were scheduled over April to October in each survey area, with the targeted tagging of 90 individuals per research trip. A standardised tagging regime and size distribution was used to allow for the assessment of the entire H. gammarus population accounting for sex and size (90 animals per survey, 18 individuals per 10 mm carapace length grouping, ranging from 65 mm to a 96 mm plus group, with 50:50 sex ratio). Individuals of 85 mm carapace length or more were 'v-notched' in an inner uropod, providing protection through a national byelaw for an estimated two moults, with commercially saleable animals of 87 mm or more purchased from the vessel at market price.

Lobsters were individually tagged using polyethylene streamer tags (Hallprint Ltd) which were administered invasively with a stainless steel needle through the abdominal musculature between the first segment of the abdomen and carapace, allowing for retention through multiple moults. Tags were sequentially numbered so each individual could be identified, with release coordinates, carapace length (mm), sex, moult status, limb loss, presence of eggs and condition recorded for all individuals. Once tagged, animals were released as close as possible to their capture location. The project was advertised to regional stakeholders, who provided information on tag number, location, sex, carapace length and presence of eggs for reported recaptures.



Figure 1: The Yorkshire Coast study site and individual survey areas

Rao's Spacing Test of Uniformity was applied to assess whether the underlying distribution of movement direction was uniform (Agostinelli and Lund 2011). **Movements** were assessed collectively. then disaggregated and assessed by sex to account for noted behavioural differences that may influence movements, with all analysis and transformation developed in R (R Development Core Team 2011; Freitas 2010; Smith 2010). A 5 km per day threshold was used to account for operators transhipping tagged animals, determined by the assessment of high confidence recaptures. Sets of recapture details without complete information were not included in the analysis and recaptures reported on the same day as the animal was released were also discounted. Recapture analysis was developed using pooled recapture data from the 2007 to 2010, to mediate for the annual variability in environmental and anthropogenic factors influencing the fishery, including significant weather impacts during 2009 surveys.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1,309 recaptures were recorded over a 4 year survey period (13 %), with 838 sets of recapture details containing sufficient detail for comprehensive analysis

following quality assurance, consisting of 552 males and 286 females (Table 1). There was no significant difference in male and female recapture frequency (Pearson's Chi Square Test, df = 4,  $\chi^2$  = 9.008, *p*>0.05; Table 1).

**Table 1:** A summary of *H. gammarus* recaptures by releasesurvey area and sex, detailing total recaptures frequencyfrom 2007 to 2010

Recaptures (No.)	Whitby	Filey	Flamborough	Hornsea	Withernsea
Total	96	135	302	179	126
Male	72	83	201	107	89
Female	24	52	101	72	37

Analysis of animal movements from release to recapture determined that H. gammarus dispersion was not uniform, with visual inspection indicating multi-modal distributions clustering between the North to West and South to East cardinals (Rao's Spacing Test of Uniformity n=838, U=158.827, p<0.05, Figure 2a). Disaggregation and analysis by sex determined that neither male (Rao's Spacing Test of Uniformity; n=552, U=155.755, p<0.05) or female (Rao's Spacing Test of Uniformity n=286, U=163.657, p<0.05) movements were uniform. The main distributions of male movements were between the North to West and South to East cardinals, with a concentration of movements travelling East to South-East (Figure 2b). Female movements displayed a general bimodal distribution, with the majority of recaptures evenly distributed between the North to West and South to East cardinals (Figure 2c).

Movements orientated to this axis complement the predominant currents in region, suggesting that H. gammarus movements may be influenced by current direction. Consideration should be given to H. gammarus olfaction, where current processes govern the transportation and therefore concentration of scent cues in the water column, which may influence an individual's behaviour and therefore choice of movement direction (Skog 2009; Barshaw et al. 2003). As release sites varied across the study area up to 12 nautical miles offshore, the geographical constraints of the coastline did not appear to impact H. gammarus' ability to move in a westerly direction inshore. In consideration of this study's results and its broader implications for H. gammarus management, they support the hypothesis that broadscale movements can be estimated based upon regional current systems. If this directional preference is a common feature of H. gammarus stocks then it could be used by fisheries managers to predict animal movements, allowing for the more informed assessment and interpretation of seasonal fishing activity and stock exploitation.



Figure 2: Ordinal plots of movement bearings by for (a) total recaptures, (b) male recaptures and (c) female recaptures. Individual points represent the bearing from release to recapture position and are presented as stacked columns in 5° increments

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks to the commercial operators supporting this survey for their hospitality during offshore surveys and participation in reporting of recaptured animals. I would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers who commented on this communication for their invaluable feedback.

## REFERENCES

- Agostinelli C and Lund U (2011) *R package 'circular': Circular Statistics* (version 0.4-3). https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular/.
- Bannister RCA, Addison JT and Lovewell SRJ (1994) Growth, movement, recapture rate and survival of Hatchery-Reared lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* (Linnaeus, 1758)) released into the wild on the English East Coast. Crustaceana 67: 2.
- Barshaw DE, Lavalli KL and Spanier E (2003) Offense versus defense: responses of three morphological types of lobsters to predation. Marine Ecology Progress Series 256: 171-182.
- Freitas C (2010) Argosfilter package. R package version 0.62. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=argosfilter
- Hepper BT (1978) Population dynamics of the lobster Homarus gammarus (L) off the coasts of England. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food – Fisheries Research Technical Report No. 41

- Moland E, Moland-Olsen E, Andvord, K, Knutsen, JA and Stenseth NC (2011) Activity patterns of wild European lobster *Homarus gammarus* in coastal marine reserves: implications for future reserve design. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 68: 1197-1210.
- R Development Core Team (2011) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900054-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- Skerritt DJ, Fitzsimmons C, Hardy MH and Polunin NVC (2013) Mapping European lobster (Homarus gammarus) movement and habitat-use via acoustic telemetry – Implications for management. www.gov.uk
- Skog M (2009) Male but not female olfaction is crucial for intermolt mating in European lobsters (*Homarus gammarus* L.). *Chem. Senses* 34: 159-169.
- Smith IP, Jensen AC, Collins KJ and Mattey EL (2001) Movement of wild European lobsters *Homarus gammarus* in natural habitat. Marine Ecology Progress Series 222: 177-186.
- Smith M (2010) Development of a multiple indicator framework macro-crustacean fishery assessment and management - Stage 1 Report (Seafish project D108; Cefas project C3609).