DOI: https://doi.org/10.17017/j.fish.104

Efficiency of fishing gears in the river Halda, Chittagong, Bangladesh

Mohammad Arshad-Ul-Alam^{1,2} • Mohammad Ali Azadi¹

¹ Department of Zoology, University of Chittagong, Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh

² Department of Zoology, Bandarban Government College, National University, Bandarban Hill District 4600, Bangladesh

Correspondence: Mohammad Ali Azadi, Department of Zoology, University of Chittagong; Email: maazadi@yahoo.com

Received: 03 Apr 2015, Received in revised form: 20 Aug 2015, Accepted: 28 Sep 2015, Published online: 01 Oct 2015

Citation: Arshad-Ul-Alam M and Azadi MA (2015) Efficiency of fishing gears in the river Halda, Chittagong, Bangladesh. Journal of Fisheries 3(3): 275–284. DOI: 10.17017/j.fish.104

Abstract

To determine the catch efficiency of fishing gears, catch per unit effort (CPUE) data were collected for two years during January 2007 to December 2008 from the river Halda. Analyses were done to examine the variation of CPUE among gears, studied sections, months and years. The mean CPUE for pooled data of all gears was 2.247±0.265 kg'gear⁻¹day⁻¹ and 2.697±0.355 kg'gear⁻¹day⁻¹ for 2007 and 2008 respectively. Among eight gear categories, bag nets yielded the highest CPUE during 2007 (5.957±0.704 kg'gear⁻¹day⁻¹) and seine nets during 2008 (7.288±1.477 kg'gear⁻¹day⁻¹). Among 31 gear types, small meshed bag nets yielded the highest CPUE (18.065±6.660 and 15.69±4.479 kg'gear⁻¹day⁻¹ during 2007 and 2008 respectively). CPUE was highest during March-April and September-November periods. Analysis of variance showed significant difference among catch rates of different fishing gears. The CPUE differed significantly among different months for net fence, gill net, cast net and scoop net during 2007; and for seine net, net fence, bag net and cast net during 2008.

Keywords: Halda River, catch efficiency, catch per unit effort, CPUE, catch rate

INTRODUCTION

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) is a useful index of the abundance and exploitation of fishery resources to determine the number of fishing units for sustainable fishery (Ahmed and Hambrey 2005). Estimation of CPUE is troublesome in a multi species fishery where a large variety of fishing gears are used with a varying degree of intensity and efficiency. CPUE study of the Halda river fishery during 1977 and 1978 by Ali and Morris (1977, 1978) was found to be inadequate and after that for the last three decades no investigation of the Halda river fishery was noticed except two years study on the Halda river fishery during 2007 and 2008 by Arshad-UI-Alam (2011).

Although no studies were found on the exploitation of riverine fisheries of Bangladesh, but some studies were done on the number of fishing efforts and CPUE of

different gears in the Maljhee-Kangsa floodplain (Ahmed et al. 2005), catch efficiency of different types of gears in the Kaptai Lake (Ahmed and Hambrey 2005), fishery exploitation of the Titas floodplain (Ahmed 2008) and fishing gears and catch composition in the Chalan Beel (Galib *et al.* 2009).

The Halda River is well known for the collection of fertilised eggs of Indian major carps, *Catla catla* (Hamilton 1822), *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Hamilton 1822), *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton 1822) and *L. calbasu* (Hamilton 1822). During 2006, the government of Bangladesh declared a 20 km area of this river from Sartaghat Bridge to Madunaghat Bridge as fish sanctuary to conserve and protect the spawning ground of major carps which was known to be located in this 20 km area since 1948 (Bangladesh Gazette 2006). Although implementation comes on forth after 2009. Later on in 2010, the sanctuary was extended to 40 km in length from the Nazirhat Bridge, upstream of the

Halda river to the Halda mouth opened at the Karnaphuli river and extended further up to the Kalurghat Bridge on the Karnaphuli river (Bangladesh Gazette 2010).

Some considerable number of studies were done on the river Halda, on its limnology and spawning biology of carps (Azadi 1979), spawning behaviour and ecology of breeding grounds (Patra and Azadi 1980), collection and hatching of carp eggs (Patra and Azadi 1984), limnology (Patra and Azadi 1985a), Hydrological factors influencing spawning of carps (Patra and Azadi 1985b), ecology of plankton (Patra and Azadi 1987), management of spawn fishery of carps (Azadi 2004), fishery and biology of fish (Arshad-ul-Alam 2011), fish diversity and Ichthyofauna (Azadi and Arshad-ul-Alam 2011, 2013), fishing intensity (Arshad-Ul-Alam 2013), but no studies were found on the fishing gears efficiency which is needed to use as the index of abundance and exploitation of fishery resources to determine the number of fishing units for the management of sustainable fishery.

So, this study was undertaken to find out the catch rates in terms of daily catch per unit of fishing effort (CPUE) of different fishing gears in the river Halda.

METHODOLOGY

Study area: Tidal river Halda is one of the major tributaries of the river Karnaphuli. Originated from Khagrachari Hill District of Bangladesh, it meets with the river Karnaphuli at 15 km north of Chittagong Metropolitan City. The river Halda is 88 km in length and 35 m in width at upstream to 210 m at the confluence of the river (Tsai *et al.* 1981, Arshad-Ul-Alam 2011, Azadi and Arshad-Ul-Alam 2011). The present study area covered the entire fishing zone of 39 km area of the river Halda starting from the Nazirhat Bridge (upstream) to the river mouth (lower Halda-downstream) joined with the river Karnaphuli, which covered an area of 351 ha (Figure 1).

Catch monitoring: The study area was divided into three sections, *i.e.* (1) non-spawning area of carps (upstream), (2) spawning area (mid stream), and (3) non spawning and one spawning area (downstream- up to river mouth end point) (Table 1) to examine any variations among the sections. Catch sample for every fishing gear was recorded for catch monitoring and assessment. Some catch samples were taken during the fishing effort survey and others during catch assessment survey followed by fishing effort survey. Samples were collected wholly for small catch and a number of sub-samples for big catch directly from fishermen during fishing or at the end of fishing. A minimum of one catch sample was taken for each fishing gear for each section in every month. A total

of 1019 catch samples of the different types of fishing gears were recorded and analysed.



Figure 1: Showing Three sampling sections (section-1, section-2 and section-3 under circle with arrow mark) in the 39 km area of the river Halda

Total weight of catch, duration of fishing, time of fishing, number of fishing days in last week and night fishing activities were recorded. In case of small catch, the total catch was sorted by the number and weight of each species. Big catch was assessed by taking one or more sub-samples. Big fishes were recorded separately. Thus, all fish and prawn species for each catch sample were listed with their numbers and weights. Weights were taken with the aid of 500 g and 5 kg pan type dial spring scale and 50 kg pull type dial spring scale.

Catch per unit of effort: Catch per unit of effort is the average catch rate estimated using the following formula:

 $CPUE_g = \frac{W}{n}$ (Arshad-Ul-Alam 2011; modified after de Graff and Chinh 1992; and Harikrisnan and Kurup 2001)

Where, $CPUE_g$ = daily mean catch per unit of effort; w = total weight of fish recorded from the gear sampled; and n = number of gears sampled. CPUE was recorded as kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹, kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹, kg gear⁻¹hour⁻¹ and CPUE

No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹ for two successive years 2007 and 2008. CPUE kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ was recorded for individual gear types, broad gear categories and user group categories.

Table 1: Location and size of the study area

Section	Location	Geographical location	Length, width and area	Remarks
Section -1 Upstream	Nazirhat old bridge to Sartarghat bridge.	22°38′N 91°48′E to 22°30′N 91°51′E	average width:	Extended portion of sanctuary declared during 2010.
Section -2 Midstream	Sartarghat bridge to Kumarkhali ghat.	91°51′E to		Part of sanctuary declared during 2007. Present spawning ground of Indian major carps and fertilised egg collecting area.
Section -3 Downstream	Kumarkhali ghat to Halda River mouth.	22°27′N 91°51′E to 22°24′N 91°53′E	Length: 9 km; average width: 135 m; area: 121.5 ha; distance from sea: 26 km	Part of sanctuary declared during 2006. Includes a part of present spawning ground and fertilised egg collecting area.

Analysis and presentation of data: Data were analysed using the Microsoft Excel. The existing 34 types (Arshad-Ul-Alam 2011) of fishing gears of the river Halda were rearranged into 31 types and grouped into eight gear categories and four user categories for analysis and presentation of data. Mean CPUE kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ is presented with standard error (±SE). Three-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis of CPUE variation among gears, months and the different sections of the river. One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis for variation between years. Two-way ANOVA was used to find the temporal and spatial variation of catch rates of each gear categories. ANOVA was done manually on MS Excel sheet using different MS Excel functions following step by step statistical procedure. Three CPUE data sets (gear types, gear categories, user categories) were subjected to statistical analysis.

Working definitions

Professional fishermen: Traditional fishing community that comes from ethnic group of Hindu religious society.

Subsistence fishermen: Peoples come from poor section of the society generally catch fish for family consumption with small low cost fishing gears (small cast net, small push net and small lift net) or without fishing gears (hand picking) and catch usually small fishes. Recreational fishermen: Rich peoples enjoy fishing as recreation and also for self consumption with hand line, rod and reel and catch Rui, Mrigal, Kalibaush, Ayre, Boal, Pungus, Boro baim and big Prawn.

Neo-professional fishermen: Fishermen other than ethnic professional, subsistence and recreational are grouped under this heterogeneous assemblage. Most of the members of this sector were previously unemployed, and fishing is now their main livelihood and they belong to different religious groups. A number of outlawed people, users of illegal fishing gears, night time fishers and carp brood fishes are included in this assemblage.

Abbreviations used: To donate the mesh size, LM used for large mesh, MM for medium mesh and SM for small mesh nets or cod ends of respective fishing gears.

RESULTS

Catch per unit effort kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ for 31 individual gear types are presented in Table 2. Catch per unit effort in terms of kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹ and kg gear⁻¹h⁻¹ for gear categories are presented in Table 3. Table 4 shows CPUE kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹ for user categories. Results of ANOVA with degree of freedom are presented in Tables 5, 6 and 7. Table 8 shows CPUE in terms of No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹.

Catch per unit effort for 31 individual gear types: Mean CPUE for each gear type for total study area of the river Halda during 2007 and 2008 with coefficient of variations among months and sections are shown in Table 2. Catch per unit effort for same gears in the three studied sections are shown in Figure 2 and with monthly variation in Figure 3. Over the total study area, the highest mean value of CPUE was found for SM bag net (18.065±6.660 and 15.69±4.479 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) followed by brush shelter (8.173±0.629 and 7.744±1.534 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) and rectangular dip net (6.61±1.185 and 7.319±1.293 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) during 2007 and 2008 respectively (Table 2). Triangular dip net and seine net stands 4th and 5th with a catch rate of 5.67 ± 0.628 to 6.06 ± 1.185 and 4.159 ± 0.42 to 7.288 ± 1.477 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ respectively.

During 2007, the mean CPUE for gear categories ranged from minimum 0.100 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (small subsistence gears) to maximum 5.156 \pm 0.537 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (bag nets) in section 1; from minimum 0.299 \pm 0.093 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (gill nets) to maximum 5.415 \pm 0.624 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (bag nets) in section 2; and from minimum 0.516 \pm 0.166 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (gill nets) to maximum 6.297 \pm 1.025 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (bag nets) in section 3.



Figure 2: Mean CPUE for 31 gear types in the river Halda during 2007 (a) and 2008 (b).

[SN - Seine net , SM EN – Small meshed enclosure net, LM EN - Large meshed enclosure net, BS - Brush shelter (2 types), SCN - Small cast net, LM CN - Large meshed cast net, LCN - Large cast net, SBN - Set-bag net, SM BN – Small meshed bag net, TDN - Triangular dip net, RDN - Rectangular dip net, MGN - Monofilament gill net, SM GN – Small meshed gill net, MM GN – Medium meshed gill net, LM DGN – Large meshed drift gill net, LM FGN - Large meshed fixed gill net (2 types), TFPN - Two fisher push net, OFPN - One fisher push net, ScN - Scoop net, DN - Drag net, PH - Prawn hook, RL - Rod and line, HL - Hand line (2 types), RR - Rod and reel, FRL - Fixed rod line, BSLL - Bottom set long line, TSLL -Top set long line, TH - Turtle hook, SLN - Small lift net, SPN - Small push net, HP - Hand picking]



Figure 3: Monthly mean CPUE kg gear⁻¹ day⁻¹ for 31 gear types over the total study area in the river Halda during 2007 (a) and 2008 (b).

[SN - Seine net , SM EN – Small meshed enclosure net, LM EN - Large meshed enclosure net, BS - Brush shelter (2 types), SCN - Small cast net, LM CN - Large meshed cast net, LCN - Large cast net, SBN - Set-bag net, SM BN – Small meshed bag net, TDN - Triangular dip net, RDN - Rectangular dip net, MGN - Monofilament gill net, SM GN – Small meshed gill net, MM GN – Medium meshed gill net, LM DGN – Large meshed drift gill net, LM FGN - Large meshed fixed gill net (2 types), TFPN - Two fisher push net, OFPN - One fisher push net, ScN - Scoop net, DN - Drag net, PH - Prawn hook, RL - Rod and line, HL - Hand line (2 types), RR - Rod and reel, FRL - Fixed rod line, BSLL - Bottom set long line, TSLL -Top set long line, TH - Turtle hook, SLN - Small lift net, SPN - Small push net, HP - Hand picking]



Figure 4: Mean CPUE for each gear category in studied sections and in total study area during 2007 and 2008 in the river Halda. (SN - Seine net, NF- Net fence, BN- Bag net, GN- Gill net, ScN- Scoop net, CN- Cast net, HL- Hook & line, SSG- small subsistence gear)

During 2008, the mean CPUE ranged from minimum 0.116 \pm 0.017 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (small subsistence gears) to maximum 7.373 \pm 1.605 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (seine net) in section 1; from minimum 0.4 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (small subsistence gears) to maximum 8.66 \pm 2.365 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (seine net) in section 2; and from minimum 0.598 \pm 0.071 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (gill net) to maximum 7.423 \pm 1.455 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (seine net) in section 3.

Catch per unit effort for different user categories: Mean CPUE for each user category in the three studied sections and in total study area are shown in Figure 4. Fishing gears under neo-professional category showed the highest CPUE $(3.03\pm0.462 \text{ to } 3.66\pm0.764 \text{ kg} \text{ gear}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1})$ followed by professional, recreational and subsistence category over the total study area (Figure 5).

Combined CPUE: Over the total study area, for all gears, the CPUE varied from 0.851 (in June) to 4.266 (in October) during 2007 with a mean value of 2.247 \pm 0.265 kg gear¹day⁻¹. During 2008, it ranged from minimum 1.232 (in July) to maximum 5.897 (in April) with a mean value of 2.697 \pm 0.355 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ (Figure 6).



Figure 5: Mean CPUE in studied sections and in total study area for each user category during 2007 and 2008 in the river Halda. (P, Professional; S, subsistence; R, Recreational; N, Neoprofessional)

For all gears, the CPUE during 2007 in section 1 ranged from minimum 0.786 (in June) to maximum 4.096 (in October) with a mean value of 2.278 ± 0.287 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹. In section 2, CPUE ranged from 0.808 (in July) to 5.491 (in October) with a mean value of 2.285 ± 0.405 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ and in Section 3, it ranged from minimum 0.916 (in June) to maximum 4.224 (in November) with a mean value of 2.227 ± 0.263 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹.



Figure 6: Month-wise combined CPUE during January 2007 to December 2008 in the river Halda

Gear category and name			2007			2008					
Gear	Name of gear type	Mean CPUE±SE	n	CV%	CV%	Mean CPUE±SE	n	CV%	CV%		
category	(User category)	(kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹)		months	sections	(kg gear ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		months	sections		
Seine net	Seine net (P)	4.159±0.42	12	36	17	7.288±1.48	12	71	9		
Net fence	SM Enclosure net (N)	3.785±0.66	12	57	3	4.022±0.8	12	68	10		
	LM enclosure net (N)	4.956	1		5	5.325±0.85	4	32	17		
	Brush shelter (2 types) (N)	8.173±0.63	5	19	22	7.744±1.53	6	32	56		
Cast net	Small cast net (S)	0.557±0.07	12	38	11	0.601±0.08	12	48	20		
	LM cast net (S)	0.762±0.14	11	59	13	0.87±0.21	9	67	49		
	Large cast net (P)	0.74±0.13	11	57	34	0.666±0.08	10	38	9		
Bag net	Set-bag net (P)	4.514±0.79	12	49	16	5.081±0.58	12	36	24		
	SM bag net (P)	18.06±6.66	5	82		15.69±4.47	7	75	8		
	Triangular dip net (P)	6.06±0.65	10	34	2	5.67±0.63	11	37	18		
	Rectangular dip net (P)	6.61±1.18	9	54		7.319±1.29	12	61	22		
Gill net	Monofilament gill net (P)	0.697±0.16	3	41			0	-	-		
	SM gill net (P)	0.424±0.06	8	41	98	0.35±0.02	7	17	10		
	MM gill net (P)	0.418±0.15	12	118	29	0.52±0.08	12	50	12		
	LM drift gill net (N)	1.2±0.20	10	54	4	0.869±0.12	11	38	26		
	LM fixed gill net (2 types) (N)	1.008±0.25	6	59	34	1.195±0.17	10	47	29		
Scoop net	Two fisher push net (P)	2.653±0.31	12	41	6	1.88±0.11	10	19	1		
	One fisher push net (P)	1.046±0.20	7	51	30	1.188±0.19	9	50	28		
	Scoop net (P)		0	-	-	***0.55	5				
	Drag net (P)	1.078±0.07	8	18	1	1.1	1				
Line and	Prawn hook (N)	0.636±0.12	12	56	12	0.939±0.10	10	34	13		
hook	Rod and line(P)	0.388±0.01	2	5		0.401±0.04	4	21	10		
	Hand line (2 types) (R)	1.962±0.22	5	26	28	2.517±0.18	6	18	15		
	Rod and reel (R)	1.521±0.15	7	26	7	1.172±0.07	6	16	5		
	Fixed rod line (R)	2.525±0.25	4	20		2.528±0.33	5	30	35		
	Bottom set long line (P)	0.18	1			0.3	1				
	Top set long line (P)		0	-	-	0.245±0.16	2	89			
	*Turtle hook (N)	0.606	1		28	0.333	1		20		
Minor	**Small lift net (S)	***0.1	5			***0.1	5				
subsistence	Small push net (S)	0.385±0.02	9	14	6	0.373±0.03	4	17	14		
gear	Hand picking (S)	0.722±0.06	12	27	27	0.752±0.02	9	8			
Combined CF		2.247±0.265 (n= 1	2)			2.697±0.355 (n= 1	.2)				

Mean, SE and CV (months) were calculated on the basis of number of fishing months (operating months) (n) of the gear. User category indicated within brackets (P- Professional, S- subsistence, R- Recreational, N- Neo-professional). * Migratory fishing unit were found only in February; ** The gear operated by female fisher (catch consisted mainly of small prawns). *** Only one catch sample was taken and used for the estimation of CPUE for all fishing months During 2008, CPUE in section 1 ranged from minimum 1.392 (in July) to maximum 7.027 (in April) with a mean value of 2.574 ± 0.465 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹. In section 2, it ranged from minimum 1.048 (in February) to maximum 8.336 (in April) with a mean value of 3.222 ± 0.594 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ whereas in section 3, it ranged from minimum 1.084 (in July) to maximum 5.011 (in September) with a mean value of 2.529 ± 0.324 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹.

Catch per fisher per day: Bag net shows the highest average CPUE (4.451 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$) followed by net fence (1.493 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$), scoop net (1.326 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$) and seine net (1.278 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$). Combined gear CPUE was estimated to be 1.364 and 1.508 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$ for the year 2007 and 2008 respectively with an average of 1.436 kg fisher $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$ (Table 3).

Considering the user category, the highest CPUE was estimated for professional fishery (2.150 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹) followed by neo- professional fishery (2.049 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹), recreational (1.699 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹) and subsistence fishery (1.008 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹) (Table 4).

Catch per gear per hour: Seine net shows the highest rate of CPUE kg gear⁻¹h⁻¹ (1.574 kg gear⁻¹h⁻¹) while hook and line show the lowest (0.130 kg gear⁻¹h⁻¹) (Table 3).

Table 3: CPUE for each gear category during 2007 and 2008 in the river Halda

Gear category	CPUE	(kgˈfish	er ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	CPUE (kgˈgear ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)			
Geur category	2007	2008	Average	2007	2008	Average	
Seine net	1.075	1.48	1.278	1.626	1.521	1.574	
Net fence	1.418	1.568	1.493	0.642	0.657	0.650	
Bag net	3.611	5.291	4.451	0.541	0.669	0.605	
Gill net	0.423	0.524	0.474	0.14	0.246	0.193	
Scoop net	1.379	1.273	1.326	0.422	0.399	0.411	
Cast net	0.556	0.663	0.610	0.148	0.146	0.147	
Hook & line	0.644	0.693	0.669	0.108	0.152	0.130	
Small subsistence gear	0.532	0.504	0.518	0.166	0.184	0.175	
Combined gear CPUE	1.364	1.508	1.436	0.384	0.456	0.42	

Variation for CPUE among gears, months, sections and year: Three way ANOVA tested on mean values of CPUE showed significant difference (P < 0.01) among gears and months for all three data sets (gear types, gear categories and user categories) (Table 5). Among the sections, a significant difference was observed for gear types and there was no significant difference for gear categories (Table 5). However, for user categories no significant difference was observed during 2007 but it was significant different during 2008 (Table 5). Interaction effect for gear-month showed significant differences for all data sets, section-month interaction showed significant

difference for gear types during 2007 and for gear category during 2008. However, the gear-section interaction was not significantly different for user category during 2007 (Table 5).

Table 4: CPUE for user category in the river Halda.

llear catagory	CPUE (kg fisher ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)							
User category	2007	2008	2007-08					
Professional	2.144	2.156	2.150					
Subsistence	1.015	1.000	1.008					
Recreational	1.567	1.774	1.699					
Neo-professional	1.948	2.149	2.049					

One way ANOVA tested on CPUE for gear types, gear categories and user categories showed no significant difference between 2007 and 2008 (Table 6). The CPUE differed significantly among different months for net fence, gill net, cast net and scoop net during 2007, and for seine net, net fence, bag net and cast net during 2008. The differences of CPUE among sections were observed for gill nets, scoop net and hook and line during 2007 and for hook and line during 2008 (Table 7).

Catch per unit effort in terms of number of fish per gear per day: In 2007, section 1 showed the highest CPUE for triangular lift net (56080±36897 No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹). Section 2 showed the highest CPUE for rectangular lift net (60890±22510 No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹). Section 3 showed the highest CPUE for SM bag net (82376±33689 No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹).

During 2008, section 1 showed highest CPUE for seine net $(62764\pm34454 \text{ No. of fish gear}^{-1} day^{-1})$ while sections 2 and 3 showed the highest CPUE for SM bag net (81812 ±18978 and 59531 ± 19400 No. of fish gear $^{-1} day^{-1}$ respectively).

Over the entire study area the highest CPUE (No. of fish gear $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$) was found for SM bag net followed by rectangular dip net, seine net and triangular dip net (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

In this study, mean CPUE for professional gear was 2.938±0.325 and 3.412 ±0.512 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ for 2007 and 2008 respectively. The present catch rate was found to be much lower than earlier catch rate (4.20 kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹ for commercial gears) of Halda River (Ali and Morris 1977). CPUE for combined gear (kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹) measured as an average 1.436 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹, which showed similarities with the records of Ahmed *et al.* (2005) from Maljhee-Kangsa floodplain(1.43 kg fisher⁻¹day⁻¹).

The mean CPUE of all fishing gears for the entire study area varied widely and ranged from 0.100 to 18.065 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹. Gears under net fence category showed a variation from 0.641kg (SM enclosure net, section 2, July, 2007) to 15.42 kg (brush shelter, section 2, April 2008). The CPUE of SM bag net was found to vary between 1.5 kg (section 3, March, 2007) to 29.83 kg (section 3, October, 2007). Catch rates in the seine net, brush shelter, and MM gill net considerably increased during March-April and September-October-November periods. Ahmed and Hambery (2005) recorded a different trend of CPUE in lift nets and seine nets in Kaptai Reservoir, where CPUE was higher between January and March and lower during high water level period of October-December. The coefficient of variation (CV) showed that there was <100% variation of CPUE among months (except MM gill net during 2007), < 50% variation of CPUE among sections (except SM gill net during 2007 and brush shelter during 2008) for gear types, gear categories and user categories. Monthly CV among mean CPUE of gear types and gear categories showed 84% to 180% and 70% to 142% variation respectively. Almost similar coefficient of variation among sites and gear types was noticed in Kaptai reservoir (Ahmed and Hambery 2005).

Table 5: Six ANOVA tables resulting from three way ANOVA incorporated in one. *F*-values for variables (gear, month and section) and for their interaction effects shown for three data sets (gear type, gear category and user category) and for two years

Dete act	Courses	2007						2008				
Data set	Source -	TSS	DF	MSS	F		TSS	DF	MSS	F		
Gear type	Gear	1691.65	28	60.42	19.267	а	3414.48	30	113.82	37.284	а	
	Month	272.36	11	24.76	7.896	а	414.858	11	37.714	12.355	а	
	Section	49.97	2	24.99	7.968	а	37.230	2	18.615	6.098	а	
	Gear month	1498.23	308	4.86	1.551	а	3615.10	330	10.955	3.589	а	
	Section Month	133.91	22	6.09	1.941	а	89.041	22	4.0473	1.326		
	Gear Section	766.98	56	13.70	4.368	а	1091.35	60	18.189	5.959	а	
	Gear Month Section	1931.64	616	3.14			2014.75	660	3.053			
	Total	6344.74	1115				10676.8	1115				
Gear categor	y Gear	846.70	7	120.96	61.38	а	1921.29	7	274.47	138.37	а	
	Month	128.63	11	11.69	5.93	а	299.59	11	27.24	13.73	а	
	Section	11.69	2	5.85	2.97		0.97	2	0.49	0.25		
	Gear month	312.44	77	4.06	2.06	а	1278.51	77	16.60	8.37	а	
	Section Month	58.64	22	2.67	1.35		71.11	22	3.23	1.63	b	
	Gear Section	69.47	14	4.96	2.52	а	101.64	14	7.26	3.66	а	
	Gear Month Section	303.49	154	1.97			305.47	154	1.98			
	Total	1731.06	287				3978.57	286				
User categor	y Gear	222.21	3	74.07	68.85	а	333.94	3	111.31	61.75	а	
	Month	63.55	11	5.78	5.37	а	60.16	11	5.47	3.03	а	
	Section	4.33	2	2.16	2.01		21.23	2	10.61	5.89	а	
	Gear month	70.56	33	2.14	1.99	а	251.33	33	7.62	4.23	а	
	Section Month	22.90	22	1.04	0.97		43.19	22	1.96	1.09		
	Gear Section	6.97	6	1.16	1.08		22.42	6	3.74	2.07	а	
	Gear Month Section	71.00	66	1.08			118.97	66	1.80			
	Total	461.52	143				851.24	143				

Major contribution in the total catch comes from gears under net fence category (47-50.3%) followed by bag nets (22.9-23.6%) and seine nets (8.3-12.6%) (Arshad-UI-Alam 2011). Small meshed enclosure net used by neoprofessional fishers was identified as the most detrimental fishing gear and is now banned by the government of Bangladesh; 57.79% of its catch is composed of different prawns species with 24.46% small juvenile prawns. During monsoon SM enclosure was observed in lesser extent with lower CPUE. Lower CPUE values in seine net were also observed during monsoon. CPUE of different cast nets and set-bag net was almost the same throughout the year.

In this study mean data of CPUE revealed that the SM bag net had the highest CPUE (18.065 \pm 6.660 and 15.69 \pm 4.479 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ for 2007 and 2008 respectively) followed by brush shelter, dip nets and seine net. Hossain *et al.* (2007) reported highest catch for beach seine net (73 kg gear ¹day⁻¹) from the Naaf river followed by estuarine set-bag net catch (48 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹). Ahmed and Hambery (2005) studied catch efficiency of different types of gears of Kaptai Reservoir and recorded highest CPUE for SM seine net (30.86 kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹). Ahmed *et al.* (2005) reported CPUE in terms of kg hour⁻¹gear⁻¹ for different fishing seasons with average values 0.18, 0.26, 0.21, 0.29, 0.31, 0.06 and 0.02 kg hour⁻¹gear⁻¹ for push net, gill net, cast net, lift net, current net, trap and hook respectively in Kaptai Reservoir.

Table 6: *F*-values resulting from one-way ANOVA, showing the variation of CPUE (kg gear $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$)

Study area	F values for Years with degrees of freedom										
Study area	Gear type	Gear category	User category								
Section 1	0.148 1,48	0.075 1,14	0.016 1,6								
Section 2	1.637 1,54	0.639 1,14	0.696 1,6								
Section 3	0.00002 1,44	0.171 1,14	0.197 1,6								
Total	0.001 1,60	0.175 1,14	0.127 1,6								

Present recorded CPUE for different gear categories showed close similarity with previous record of Halda River (November, December period 1977) (Ali and Morris 1978) where the highest catch rate was recorded for setbag net (2.25 kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹) followed by drift net (2.50

kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹), brush shelter (1.50 kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹) and drag net (1.50 kg unit⁻¹day⁻¹). In the Titas floodplain the highest CPUE (15.41 kg gear ⁻¹day⁻¹) was found for set bag net (Ahmed 2008), whereas in the two floodplains (Binh long and Phu Than) of Mekong Delta the highest CPUE was recorded for seine net (20 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹ and 9.640±1.119 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) followed by fyke net (8.870±1.430 kg gear⁻¹ day⁻¹ and 7.536±0.584 kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) (de Graff and Chinh 1992).

In the present study, higher catch rate was observed yearly twice, one between March and May and another between September and December. The highest number of fishing gears was also reported during this period (Arshad-Ul-Alam 2013). The highest CPUE (kg gear⁻¹day⁻¹) over the entire study area for all gears was in October (4.266 kg gear ⁻¹day⁻¹) during 2007 and it was in April (5.897 kg gear ⁻¹day ⁻¹) during 2008. These findings showed very close similarities with the findings of other studies (Ahmed et al. 2005 and Hossain et al. 2007). Ahmed et al. (2005) reported the highest CPUE during post monsoon (October-December) from Maljhee-Kangsa season floodplain. Hossain et al. (2007) recorded maximum setbag net catch as 48 kg gear ⁻¹day⁻¹ during March and minimum 11 kg gear ⁻¹day⁻¹ during July from the Naaf River.

Table 7: *F*-values from two- way ANOVA showing the variation of CPUE (kg gear ⁻¹day ⁻¹) of each gear categories among months and sections during 2007 and 2008.

Year	Gear category	F value	for M	onths	F value fo	r Sections	;
Year 2007	Seine net	1.235		<i>df</i> =11.18	0.840		<i>df</i> = 2.18
	Net fence	4.260	а	<i>df</i> = 11.22	0.096		<i>df</i> = 2.22
	Beg net	1.456		<i>df</i> = 11.17	1.683		<i>df</i> = 2.17
	Gill net	11.418	а	<i>df</i> = 11.19	11.799	а	<i>df</i> = 2.19
	Cast net	4.733	а	<i>df</i> = 11.22	1.860		<i>df</i> = 2.22
	Scoop net	11.620	а	<i>df</i> = 11.18	11.864	а	<i>df</i> = 2.18
	Hook and line	0.677		<i>df</i> = 11.15	7.008	а	<i>df</i> = 2.15
Year 2008	Seine net	29.376	а	<i>df</i> = 11.17	1.721		<i>df</i> = 2.17
	Net fence	6.671	а	<i>df</i> = 11.22	0.416		<i>df</i> = 2.22
	Beg net	3.472	а	<i>df</i> = 11.21	3.382		<i>df</i> = 2.21
	Gill net	1.224		<i>df</i> = 11.20	0.129		<i>df</i> = 2.20
	Cast net	5.911	а	<i>df</i> = 11.22	2.216		<i>df</i> = 2.22
	Scoop net	1.050		<i>df</i> = 10.14	1.965		<i>df</i> = 2.14
	Hook and line	2.259		<i>df</i> = 11.15	5.826	b	<i>df</i> = 2.15

No previous studies on fishing gear efficiency in terms of CPUE (number of fish gear ¹day ⁻¹) from the river Halda or from any other rivers of Bangladesh were found. It is important to determine the size selectivity of fishing gears. In this study, the highest CPUE (number of

a - significant at 1% level, b - significant at 5% level

fish gear¹day⁻¹) was observed for SM bag net followed by rectangular lift net, seine net, and triangular lift net. These are small mesh gears fished mostly on small clupeid *Corica sobona* Hamilton 1822, small juvenile prawn and immature *Setipinna phasa* (Hamilton 1822) Low CPUE (number of fish gear¹day⁻¹) was observed for brood killers like different gill nets (1 to 78 number of fish gear¹day⁻¹) and hook lines (1 to 22 number of fish gear¹day⁻¹).

CONCLUSION

This is the first time study in Bangladesh, which estimated the CPUE for each gear type in the river Halda. This CPUE study in the important river Halda just before implementation of fish sanctuary declaration will act as milestone to provide needed information on the exploitation of fishery resources for the sustainable fishery management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are grateful to the University Grants Commission, Ministry of Education and University of Chittagong, Bangladesh for their kind support.

Table 8. Mean CPUE (No. of fish gear⁻¹day⁻¹) and CV % (among months) for each gear type for total study area during 2007 and 2008. SE is zero where only one catch sample represented for several fishing months.

	Ye	ar 2007			Year 2008				
Name of gear type	Mean CPUE No. of fish gear ⁻¹ day ⁻¹	SE	n	CV% Months	Mean CPUE No. of fish gear ⁻¹ day ⁻¹	SE	n	CV% Months	
Seine net	57434	22775	12	137	51296	23524	12	159	
SM Enclosure net	2917	713	12	85	4088	1329	12	113	
LM enclosure net	47		1		6	3	4	105	
Brush shelter (2 types)	2180	858	5	88	9025	905	6	25	
Small cast net	221	44	12	70	140	42	12	104	
LM cast net	110	49	11	147	53	19	9	111	
Large cast net	236	146	11	205	69	21	10	95	
Set-bag net	7378	1665	12	78	21031	6330	12	104	
SM bag net	82376	33689	5	91	60090	19499	7	86	
Triangular dip net	47663	29846	10	198	33006	11253	11	113	
Rectangular dip net	60890	22510	9	111	56568	16145	12	99	
Monofilament gill net	6	2	3	60	-	-	-	-	
SM gill net	78	13	8	49	53	10	7	50	
MM gill net	6	1	12	56	8	1	12	46	
LM drift gill net	1		10		1		11	57	
LM fixed gill net (2 types)	2		6	49	1		10	60	
Two fisher push net	897	145	12	56	494	112	10	72	
One fisher push net	2898	1022	7	93	2827	586	9	62	
Scoop net	-	-	-	-	11		5		
Drag net	7517	1681	8	63	10570		1		
Prawn hook	20	2	12	38	22	2	10	26	
Rod and line	5	1	2	16	5	1	4	23	
Hand line (2 types)	1		5		1		6		
Rod and reel	1		7	12	1		6	15	
Fixed rod line	1		4		1		5		
Bottom set long line	1		1		2		1		
Top set long line	-	-	-	-	2	1	2	71	
Small push net	503	136	9	81	354	20	4	11	
Hand picking	101	9	12	31	104	4	9	12	

REFERENCES

- Bangladesh Gazette (2006) Bangladesh Gazette, 15 May 2006, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, SRO 75-Law/2006, Bangladesh.
- Bangladesh Gazette (2010) Bangladesh Gazette, 14 October 2010, Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock,

SRO 345-Law/2010, Bangladesh.

Ahmed KKU and Hambery JB (2005) Studies on the fish catch efficiency of different types of gear in Kaptai reservoir, Bangladesh. Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and management 10: 221-234.

Ahmed MS (2008) Assessment of fishing practice on the

exploitation of the Titas floodplain in Brahmanbaria. Bangladesh. Turkish Journal of fisheries and Aquatic Science 8: 329-334.

- Ahmed N, Rahman MM and Rahman MM (2005) Fish catch assessment of Maljehee-Kangsa floodplain in Bangladesh. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 8: 396-400.
- Ali L and Morris EL (1977) Results of the economic and catch assessment program (first survey) in the Chandpur, Muhuri, Halda and Ichamati project areas. Development of fisheries in the Chandpur, Muhuri and Karnafuli (Halda and Ichamati) irrigation and flood control project, working document 4: 39-49, Directorate of Fisheries Bangladesh with Snell environmental group, Inc. Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.
- Ali L and Morris EL (1978) Results of the economic and catch assessment program (second survey) in the Chandpur, Muhuri, Halda and Ichamati project areas. Development of fisheries in the Chandpur, Muhuri and Karnafuli (Halda and Ichamati) irrigation and flood control project, working document 7: 40-61, Directorate of Fisheries Bangladesh with Snell environmental group, Inc. Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.
- Arshad-Ul-Alam M (2011) Fishery of the Halda River with special reference to biology of *Setipinna phasa* (Hamilton). Unpublished PhD thesis. Department of Zoology, Chittagong University. 328 pp.
- Arshad-Ul-Alam M (2013) Fishing Intensity of the river Halda, Chittagong, Bangladesh. International Journal of Integrative sciences, Innovation and Technology. Sec. A, 2: 10-16.
- Azadi MA (2004) Management of spawn fishery of major carps (*Labeo rohita, Catla catla* and *Cirrhinus cirhosus*) in the Halda River, Chittagong, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Fisheries (Special Issue) 27: 50-51.
- Azadi MA and Arshad-Ul-Alam M (2011) Diversity of Finfish and Shellfish of the river Halda with notes on their conservation. In: Roskaft E, and Chivers DJ (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Biodiversity - Present State, Problems and Prospect of its Conservation pp. 91-101. Norwegian Center for International Cooperation in Education (SIU), NO 5809, Bergen, Norway.

- Azadi MA and Arshad-Ul-Alam M (2013) Ichthyofauna of the river Halda. Bangladesh Journal of Zoology 41: 113-133.
- de Graaf GJ and Chinh ND (1992) Floodplain fisheries in the southern province of Vietnam. Annual Report, Ministry of Fisheries, 9 pp.
- Galib SM, Samad MA, Kamal MM, Haque MA and Hasan MM (2009) A study on fishing gears and methods in the Chalan Beel of North-West Bangladesh. Journal of Environmental Science & Natural Resources 2(2): 213-218.
- Harikrishnan M and Kurup BM (2001) Fishery of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man) in the Vembanad lake and confluent rivers. Indian Journal of Fisheries 48(2): 189-198.
- Hossain MS, Das NG and Chowdhury MSN (2007). Fisheries management of the Naaf River. Coastal and Ocean Research Group of Bangladesh (CORG), Institute of Marine Science and Fisheries, University of Chittagong. Chittagong 4331, Bangladesh. 257 pp.
- Patra RWR and Azadi MA (1980) Some observations on the spawning behaviour and ecology of the breeding ground of the major carps in the Halda River, Chittagong, Bangladesh. Paper presented in the 4th & 5th BAAS Conf. held at Rajshahi University, 4th and 5th Annual Bangladesh Science Conf. (BAAS) Abstract No. 92, p 96.
- Patra RWR and Azadi MA (1984) Collection and hatching of fertilized eggs of major carps. Chittagong University Studies Part II Sc. 8(1): 45-49.
- Patra RWR and Azadi MA (1985a) Limnology of the Halda River. The Journal of NOAMI 2(2): 31-38.
- Patra RWR and Azadi MA (1985b) Hydrological conditions influencing the spawning of major carps in the Halda River, Chittagong, Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Zoology 13(1): 63-72.
- Patra RWR and Azadi MA (1987) Ecological studies on the planktonic organisms of the Halda River. Bangladesh Journal of Zoology 15(2): 109-123.
- Tsai C, Islam MN, Karim MR and Rahman KUMS (1981) Spawning of major carps in the lower Halda River, Bangladesh. Estuaries 4(2): 127-138.